



216

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

\*\*\*

CWP-38200-2018

Date of Decision: 18.02.2026

RAJESH

...Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL.**

Present:- Mr. Vikas Chatrath, Senior Advocate with  
Ms. Priya Kaushik, Advocate and  
Mr. B.P.S. Thakur, Advocate for petitioner

Mr. Akshit Pathania, AAG, Haryana

\*\*\*

**JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (ORAL)**

1. Learned State counsel submits that during the pendency of instant petition, the petitioner has been reinstated vide order dated 27.08.2024 passed by Director General of Police.
2. Faced with this, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he does not want to press the present petition, however, may be granted liberty to challenge aforesaid order, if necessary.
3. Dismissed as not pressed with aforesaid liberty.

**(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)  
JUDGE**

**18.02.2026**

SDK

|                           |        |
|---------------------------|--------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned | Yes/No |
| Whether reportable        | Yes/No |