



207

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-9512-2025

Date of Decision: 26.02.2026

Anil Kumar Atwal @ Sonu

...Petitioner

Versus

UT Chandigarh and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. A.P. Kaushal, Advocate and
Ms. Pallavi Bahre, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sumit Jain, Addl. P.P., UT, Chandigarh.

ANOOP CHITKARA, J.

1. Seeking issuance of direction to respondent No.4 to release the petitioner on parole, the petitioner has come up before this Court by filing the present petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India r/w Section 3 of Punjab Prisoners Good Conduct Act.

2. Counsel for the petitioner submits that vide order dated 31.07.2025 passed by Inspector General of Prisons, UT, Chandigarh (Annexure P-2), the parole of the petitioner was rejected based on the report that if the petitioner is released on parole, his release is dangerous to the security of the State and prejudicial of the maintenance of the public order.

3. Counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner undertakes not to indulge in any crime and if he commits any offence which prescribes sentence for more than 03 years, then such factor should be considered while granting subsequent parole.

4. Perusal of the order reflects that there is no reasoning in the order that how petitioner's release is threat to law and order. Given above, the present petition is disposed of. Order dated 31.07.2025 passed by Inspector General of Prisons, UT, Chandigarh (Annexure P-2) is quashed and set aside. Respondent No.4 is directed to pass a fresh order within a period of one month. All pending applications, if any, stand disposed of.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

26.02.2026

Jyoti Sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes

Whether reportable: No.