



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

115

CRM-M-48796-2025

Date of decision: 24.02.2026

SUKHWINDER SINGH ALIAS SHERA

.....PETITIONER

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

..... RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SURYA PARTAP SINGH

Present: Mr. Saurabh Chobey, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Eklavya Darshi, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

SURYA PARTAP SINGH, J.

1. This petition for bail, is first petition, filed by the petitioner under Section 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023. It has been filed with regard to a case arising out of FIR No.245 dated 18.08.2023. The above-mentioned FIR has been lodged for the commission of offence punishable under Section 379-B [Sections 411, 201 and 34 added later on] of Indian Penal Code, hereinafter being referred to as IPC, Police Station Civil Lines Batala, District Gurdaspur.

2. In nut-shell the facts emerging from record are that the petitioner, who is facing similar type of situation in two other cases has been accorded the benefit of bail by the learned trial Court, but subsequently on 17.07.2024, when he failed to turned up before the Court, his bail was cancelled and bonds



were forfeited to the state. Subsequent thereto the presence of petitioner could not be procured in the ordinary manner and he was declared a proclaimed offender by virtue of order dated 18.01.2025. Thereafter, the petitioner was arrested on 05.02.2025 and since then he is in custody

3. Heard.

4. The record has been perused carefully.

5. A perusal of record shows that in the present case, following are the relevant factors which are required to be taken into consideration for a decision: -

- i) that the petitioner has already misused he concession of bail to the maximum possible extent and he was declared a proclaimed offender in this case;
- ii) that the petitioner does not have clean antecedents and he is facing prosecution in three other cases of similar type;
- iii) that the trial is already at the fag end, as out of eight prosecution witnesses, four have already been examined.

6. Taking into consideration the cumulative effect of all the above-mentioned factors, it is hereby observed that the petitioner is not entitled for the benefit of bail and the present petition is devoid of merits. Hence, the same is hereby **dismissed** accordingly.

7. However, the learned trial Court is directed to conclude the trial within a period of next two months.

(SURYA PARTAP SINGH)
JUDGE

24.02.2026

vipin

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No