



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

208

LPA-646-2024 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 13.03.2026

PARAMJIT LAL

...Appellant

Versus

THE FINANCIAL COMMISSIONER (APPEALS) AND ORS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS SURI

Present:- Mr. Rai Singh Chauhan, Advocate, for the appellant.

Mr. Yatin Bunker, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Umesh Kanwar, Advocate, for respondent No.4.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (ORAL)

1. In the present appeal, the challenge is to the order dated 26.09.2023 passed by the learned Single Judge in CWP-22276-2019, by which the matter has been remanded back to the Collector concerned for fresh decision after hearing the parties.

2. Learned counsel appearing for the appellant submits that the only grievance of the appellant in the present appeal is that any observation made by the learned Single Judge be not taken as adverse to the appellant in case he choses to apply for the post of Lambardar afresh.

3. It may be noticed that once a case has been remanded back to the Collector concerned will give due consideration to the claim of all the candidates keeping in view the antecedents and capabilities for appointment



as Lambardar. Any observation made by the learned Single Judge will alone not be a ground to reject the claim of the appellant. The independent finding with regard to the suitability of a candidate is to be recorded but the law should be taken into account with regard to the eligibility and propriety for being appointed as a Lambardar.

4. The appeal is disposed of accordingly.
5. Pending applications, if any, also stand disposed of.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

March 13, 2026
harish

(VIKAS SURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes

Whether reportable No