



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

COCPC-4479-2025(O&M)
Date of decision: 16.03.2026

Maya Devi

... Petitioner

Versus

Devender Dahiya and another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL

Present: Ms. Jai Shree Kaushik, Advocate, for
Mr. Ajay Chaudhary, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Anuj Garg, DAG, Haryana.

VIKRAM AGGARWAL, J. (ORAL)

The instant contempt petition alleges willful disobedience of the order dated 07.03.2025 (Annexure P-1), passed in CWP-19621-2018:-

“4. Let the benefit of regularization of service of the petitioner in the light of policy dated 01.10.2003 with all the consequential benefits be released to the petitioner within a period of 04 months of the receipt of a certified copy of this order.”

2. In the affidavit filed by Mr. Vikas Dhankar, Executive Engineer, Public Health Engineering Division No.1, Bhiwani, it has been stated as under:-

“2. That in compliance of order dated 07.03.2025 passed by the Co-ordinate Bench of this Court in CWP-19621-2018 (O&M) the department has regularised the service of Petitioner w.e.f. 01-10-2003 vide Superintending Engineer, Public Health Engineering Circle Bhiwani Order no. 14497-98 dated 25-07-2025. The Department has also paid an amount of Rs. 1046112/- vide EPS No. 0200216357 and further an amount of Rs. 101202/- vide EPS No. 0200216358. Photocopies of letter No. 14497-98 dated 25-09-2025 EPS No. 0200216357 and EPS No.



0200216358 are attached herewith as Annexure R-1 to Annexure R-3.”

- 3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that no calculations have been submitted to give the basis on which the figures were arrived at. She submits that, therefore, complete compliance has not been made.
- 4. Per contra, learned State counsel submits that all that was required has been done at the end of the State, and after regularising the services of the petitioner w.e.f. 01.10.2003, the amount as stated in the reply, has been paid.
- 5. That being so, no further orders orders are required to be passed by this Court, and the instant contempt petition stands disposed of. However, the petitioner may, if so advised, avail all such remedies as shall be admissible in law, if any grievance still subsists.
- 6. Pending application(s), if any, also stands disposed of.

(VIKRAM AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

March 16, 2026
Rajan

Whether speaking / reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No