



**In the High Court for the States of Punjab and Haryana
at Chandigarh**

289

CRM-M-48443-2025 (O&M)
Date of Decision:- 13.01.2026

Ravinder Singh Kadan and another ... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another ... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUBHAS MEHLA

Present:- Mr. Vikram Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Surinderjit Singh Nahar, AAG, Punjab.

SUBHAS MEHLA, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in this petition is for quashing of FIR No.0195 dated 18.10.2018 registered under Sections 465, 471 read with Section 120-B of IPC, 1860, at Police Station City Malout, District Sri Muktsar Sahib, and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 26.08.2025 (Annexure P-2) arrived at between the parties.
2. The above stated FIR was registered on the statement of the complainant/respondent No.2.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner contended that it is a dispute between the family members as petitioner No.1 is brother-in-law and petitioner No.2 is sister of the complainant and previous FIR is also between the same parties on the similar facts.



CRM-M-48443-2025 (O&M)

(2)

4. Learned State counsel admitted that the dispute is between the family members over a property and now the parties have entered into a compromise.

5. During the course of preliminary hearing, the trial Court/Illaqa Magistrate was directed to record the statements of all the concerned parties with regard to genuineness and validity or otherwise of the aforesaid compromise.

6. In compliance thereof, report from the Court of learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Malout, along with statements of the parties has been received, in which, it is mentioned that the compromise is genuine and there was no undue influence or coercion from any side.

7. This Court has heard learned counsel for the parties.

8. Keeping in view the report received from the trial Court, it has come on record that the aforesaid compromise is genuine and the parties effected the same without any undue influence or coercion.

9. In view of above, nothing remains to be adjudicated further in the present case. Thus, continuation of the criminal proceedings between the parties would be a futile exercise and sheer wastage of time of the Court and thus, amounts to abuse of process of law.

10. For the reasons afore-stated and having regard to the law laid down by Hon'ble Apex Court in ***Gian Singh v. State of Punjab and another, 2012 (4) RCR (Criminal) 543*** and Five Judges Bench of this Court in ***Kulwinder Singh and others v. State of Punjab and another, 2007(3) RCR***



CRM-M-48443-2025 (O&M) (3)

(Criminal) 1052, this petition is allowed and FIR No.0195 dated 18.10.2018 registered under Sections 465, 471 read with Section 120-B of IPC, 1860, at Police Station City Malout, District Sri Muktsar Sahib, and all the subsequent proceedings are hereby quashed qua the petitioners.

13.01.2026
Geeta

(SUBHAS MEHLA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking /reasoned	Yes / No
Whether Reportable	Yes / No