



226

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**RA-CR-219-CII-2017 (O&M)
IN CR-8746-2016**

Date of Decision : 28.01.2026

Balkar Singh

... Appellant(s)

Versus

Rashpal Singh

... Respondent(s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ALKA SARIN

Present : Mr. Esh Gupta, Advocate and
Ms. Sarika Gupta, Advocate for the review applicant-petitioner.

Mr. Sandeep Jain, Advocate for the respondent.

ALKA SARIN, J. (Oral)

1. The present review application has been filed by the review applicant-petitioner for review of the order dated 21.09.2017 passed in CR-8746-2016.

2. While disposing off the main revision petition being CR-8746-2016, it was observed by the Court on 21.09.2017 that “... .. *By way of the impugned order dated 24.10.2016, the learned trial court rightly granted two months’ more time to the decree-holder to deposit the balance sale consideration.*”.

3. Now the present review application being RA-CR-219-CII-2017 has been filed by the applicant-petitioner. In the garb of the present review application, learned counsel for the review applicant-petitioner wants to reagitate and reargue the case on merits, which cannot be permitted in a review application. The review application is accordingly dismissed. Pending applications, if any, also stands disposed off.

28.01.2026
Yogesh Sharma

**(ALKA SARIN)
JUDGE**

NOTE: Whether speaking/non-speaking: Speaking
Whether reportable: YES/NO