



CRM-M-47298-2025

1

104

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-47298-2025
Date of decision: 10.03.2026

DALVINDER SINGH

...PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA

...RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S.GREWAL

Present: Mr. S.K.Sirsa, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Malvika Singh, DAG, Haryana.

H.S.GREWAL,J. (ORAL)

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 seeking anticipatory bail for the petitioner in FIR No. 344 dated 19.12.2024 under Sections 115,190,191(2), 191(3), 351(3), 333,324(4), 117(2) of BNS, 2023 registered at Police Station City Ratia, District Fatehabad.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner, along with his co-accused, inflicted grievous and serious injuries upon Harpal Singh and his family members. Thereafter, the co-accused namely Ankit was apprehended and during interrogation, he disclosed the name of the present petitioner.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has not been named in the FIR and no specific role has been attributed to him in the alleged occurrence. He further submits that the petitioner has been implicated in the present case solely on the basis of the disclosure statement made by the

co-accused, which by itself has no evidentiary value in the absence of any



corroborative material. The petitioner is ready and willing to join the investigation.

4. On the other hand, learned State counsel has vehemently opposed the prayer made by the ld. counsel for the petitioner on the ground that the allegations against the petitioner are serious.

5. I have heard the submissions made by ld. counsel for the parties and perused the record.

6. After hearing the rival contentions of learned counsel for the parties and keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the case, particularly the fact that apart from the disclosure statement there is no other corroborative evidence on record to connect the petitioner with the alleged offence; no injury has been attributed to the petitioner; and the petitioner is ready and willing to join the investigation, this Court finds no reason to deny the concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

7. Accordingly, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is directed to join the investigation as and when required by the Investigating Officer and to abide by the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

10.03.2026
renu

(H.S.GREWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No