



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

COCP-4455-2025(O&M)
Date of decision: 08.04.2026

Amrik Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

Alok Shekhar, IAS, and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL

Present: Mr. R.D. Gupta, Advocate, and
Mr. Raguvinder Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Shiny Chopra, AAG, Punjab.

VIKRAM AGGARWAL, J. (ORAL)

On 16.03.2026, the following order was passed:-

“The instant contempt petition alleges willful disobedience of the order dated 21.04.2025 (Annexure P-1), passed in CWP-10779-2025, vide which a direction had been issued to the respondent(s) to pass a fresh order with respect to the claim of the petitioner qua the post of DSP within a period of three months.

Notice of motion in the instant contempt petition was issued on 02.09.2025 and the same was accepted by the learned State counsel, who sought some time to seek instructions/submit response. The matter was accordingly adjourned to 15.10.2025. On the said date, another opportunity to submit a response was sought and the matter was adjourned to 28.01.2026. However, on the said date, the same could not be taken up.

Today, learned State counsel prays for yet another opportunity to submit response.

In the interest of justice, the matter is adjourned to 08.04.2026 for filing of response, subject to payment of costs of Rs.20,000/- to be deposited with the High Court Legal Services Committee.



It shall also be ascertained as to who is responsible for the delay in submitting the reply, and the competent authority would be at liberty to recover the said costs from the delinquent official(s).”

- 2. In compliance of the said order, costs of Rs.20,000/- have been deposited.
- 3. Further, learned State counsel has produced a copy of the speaking order dated 07.04.2026, vide which the petitioner has been promoted notionally to the rank of DSP w.e.f. 31.10.2007. A copy thereof has been furnished to learned counsel for the petitioner.
- 4. In response, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner does not wish to press the instant contempt petition at this stage. However, in case any grievance still subsists, the petitioner shall, if so advised, avail all such other remedies as shall be admissible for redressal of the same.
- 5. In view of the statement given by learned counsel for the petitioner, the present contempt petition is disposed of in terms thereof.
- 6. Pending application(s), if any, also stands disposed of.

(VIKRAM AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

April 8, 2026
Rajan

Whether speaking / reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No