



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

116

CM-3550-CII-2026 in/and
CR-7212-2019 (O&M)
Date of decision: 29.04.2026

Meenu Malhotra

...Petitioner

V/s

Jasbir Singh

...Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL

Present: Mr. Rajesh Narang, Advocate, for the applicant-petitioner.
Mr. Ashish Gupta, Advocate, for the respondent.

VIKRAM AGGARWAL, J (ORAL)

CM-3550-CII-2026

Prayer in the present application preferred under Order 9 Rule 4 read with Section 151 CPC is for restoration of the petition which was dismissed for non-prosecution vide order dated 12.02.2026.

For the reasons set out in the application, which is duly supported by an affidavit, the same is allowed. The order dated 12.02.2026 vide which the petition was dismissed for non-prosecution is recalled and CR-7212-2019 is restored to its original number. With the consent of learned counsel for the parties, the revision petition is taken up today.

CR-7212-2019

The instant revision petition, preferred under Article 227 of the Constitution of India, assails the order dated 04.10.2019 (Annexure P-5) passed by the Court of Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Chandigarh, vide which the application moved by the petitioner for consolidating Civil Suit No.1529-2016 titled as *Jasbir Singh vs. Meenu Malhotra* with Civil Suit No.815 of 2016 titled as *Meenu Malhotra vs. Jasbir Singh* was dismissed.

2. At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he does not press the prayer for consolidation of the civil suits and only prays that both suites be decided by one Court.

3. On a query raised by this Court, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that though one suit is at the initial stage, the other is at the stage of rebuttal evidence and arguments.

4. That being so, the prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner that both civil suits be decided by one Court cannot be acceded to.

5. Having gone through the impugned order, no illegality is found in the same. Even otherwise, keeping in view the statement given by learned counsel for the petitioner, the present revision petition stands dismissed.

Pending application(s), if any, also stand disposed of.

(VIKRAM AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

April 29, 2026

vcgarg

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No