

MANJINDER JOHAL AND OTHERS
VERSUS
SURINDER KAUR AND OTHERS

....Appellant(s)

....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SUKHVINDER KAUR

Present: Mr. Pratap Singh Gill, Advocate with
Ms. Amrit Kaur, Advocate for the appellant.

Ms. Saroj Kumari, Advocate (Legal Aid Counsel)
for respondent No.1.

Mr. Shiva Khurmi, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Saurabh Kapoor, Advocate for respondent No.4.

ANOOP CHITKARA, J. (Oral)

1. Appellant has come up before this Court by filing the present Letters Patent Appeal for setting aside the order dated 24.07.2025 passed by Id. Single Bench in CWP-15348-2021, whereby prayer of respondent No.1 was allowed.
2. Counsel for the appellants submits that senior citizen transferred property by way of Will in their favour and because of this reason they sought permission to avail legal remedy before the Civil Court, however, the Single Bench declined the same. Now for that limited purpose the appellant has come up before this Court.
3. We have heard counsel for the parties. The ends of justice would suffice if the order is read with the following modification.
- “The liberty is granted to appellant to avail legal remedy before Civil Court. This liberty shall be subject to law of limitation and *res judicata*”
4. With the aforesaid modification, the present appeal stands disposed of. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

(SUKHVINDER KAUR)
JUDGE

April 06, 2026
Sangeeta

Whether reasoned/speaking:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No