

**111 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**



CRM-M-48233-2025 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 05.03.2026

GURMEET SINGH **... PETITIONER**
STATE OF PUNJAB **VERSUS** **... RESPONDENT**

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S.GREWAL

Present:- Mr. Vishal Sodhi, Advocate for the petitioner.

H.S. GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner is seeking regular bail under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS) in FIR No.129 dated 24.11.2014, under Sections 302, 34, 201 IPC registered at Police Station Kathunangal, District Amritsar.

2. The case of the prosecution is that a land dispute was going on between Amandeep Kaur and her husband Dilbagh Singh. On 24.11.2024, the mother of Amandeep Kaur namely Kanwaljeet Kaur @ Kaula and her brother Sukhwinder Singh @ Deep along with one Gurmeet Singh (present petitioner) caused injuries to Dilbagh Singh resulting in his death. It is alleged that Kanwaljeet Kaur @ Kaula had caught hold of both hands of Dilbagh Singh while Sukhwinder Singh @ Deep and the petitioner, who were armed with iron rod and handle of water pump causing fatal injuries on the head of the deceased. It is further alleged that the petitioner did not appear before the learned trial Court, meanwhile, Kanwaljeet Kaur @ Kaula and Sukhwinder Singh @ Deep had been convicted in the year 2016. The petitioner has been arrested on 01.06.2022

and is in custody since then.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has been implicated falsely in the present case. He was not present at the time of alleged occurrence. The petitioner is in custody for the last 03 years, 09 months and 02 days.

4. Notice of motion.

5. Mr. Rishabh Singla, A.A.G., Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the State and vehemently opposes the prayer for grant of regular bail to the petitioner on the ground of gravity of allegations. Learned State counsel has filed the custody certificate in Court, which is taken on record. As per the custody certificate, the petitioner is in custody for the last 03 years, 09 months and 02 days. Out of total 20 prosecution witnesses, only three have been examined so far.

6. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

7. It is surprising to note that during the trial of the co-accused, the petitioner was neither declared as proclaimed offender nor were any efforts made to produce him before the learned Trial Court. As of today, the petitioner is facing a separate trial for the same.

8. Be that as it may, after hearing the rival contentions of the learned counsel for the parties and keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the case that the petitioner is in custody for the last 03 years, 09 months and 02 days; out of total 20 prosecution witnesses, only three have been examined so far; the conclusion of trial is likely to take a long time and continuous detention of the petitioner would not serve the ends of justice, this Court deems it fit to grant the concession of regular bail

to the petitioner during the pendency of the trial.

9. Therefore, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing requisite bail bonds, surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate concerned.

10. It is clarified that while on bail so granted through the instant order the petitioner is found indulging in any other criminal case, it shall be open to the State to seek cancellation of his bail.

05.03.2026
Janki

(H.S.GREWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No