



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

(250)

CWP-27871-2024

Date of decision:- 27.01.2026

BHATERI AND OTHERS

... Petitioners

Versus

PERMANENT LOK ADALAT FOR PUBLIC UTILITY SERVICES
BHIWANI AND OTHERS

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL

Present:- Ms. Sweta Beniwal, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. R.D. Bawa, Advocate with
Mr. Samuel Gill, Advocate,
Mr. Rishabh Rana, Advocate and
Mr. Randhir Bawa, Advocate
for respondents No.2 to 4-DHBVNL.

SUVIR SEHGAL, J. (ORAL)

1. A statement has been made by counsel for the parties that the dispute is covered by judgment dated 08.12.2025, passed by this Court in **CWP-20463-2016** titled as **Raj Kapoor V/s Dakshin Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam and Ors.**, whereby while deciding a bunch of petitions, this Court has held that Permanent Lok Adalat (PLA) does not have jurisdiction to determine compensation on account of death or bodily injury caused by electrocution. As a consequence, there is no merit in the writ petition, which is dismissed.
2. At this stage, counsel for the petitioners points out that during the pendency of proceedings before the PLA, respondents had paid compensation



of Rs.4,98,800/-, as is evident from xerox copy of the cheque appended at Annexure P-8, but calculation or copy of order determining compensation has not been given.

3. Let the respondents supply a copy of the order as well as the computation sheet, whereby compensation paid to the petitioners has been assessed, within a period of four weeks.

4. In case, the petitioners are not satisfied with the compensation granted by the respondents, they will be at liberty to take recourse to remedy available to them in accordance with the law.

(SUVIR SEHGAL)
JUDGE

27.01.2026

parul verma

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No