



CWP-24825-2025

-1-

209

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-24825-2025
Date of Decision: 25.02.2026**

M/s Jyoti Bhatta Company

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI

Present: Mr. Raman Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Chirag Wadhwa, DAG, Haryana.

JASGURPREET SINGH PURI, J. (ORAL)

1. The present writ petition has been filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of *certiorari*, *mandamus* or any other appropriate writ, order or direction quashing the impugned orders dated 21.05.2024 (Annexure P-1) passed by respondent No.1 being Revisional Authority and dated 22/28.03.2023 (Annexure P-2) passed by respondent No.2 being Appellate Authority and order dated 03.01.2008 (Annexure P-3) passed by respondent No.3 being Competent Authority under the Haryana Control of Bricks Supplies Order, 1972.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that at this stage, the prayer of the petitioner is limited to the extent of setting aside the order dated 21.05.2024 (Annexure P-1) which has been passed by the Additional Chief Secretary, Department of Food, Civil Supplies and Consumer Affairs Government of Haryana whereby revision petition filed by the petitioner has been dismissed. The said order is totally a cryptic and non-speaking order which is evident from a bare perusal of the same.

**CWP-24825-2025****-2-**

3. Mr. Chirag Wadhwa, DAG, Haryana submitted that he cannot dispute that the aforesaid order (Annexure P-1) is an unreasoned order.

4. I have heard the learned counsels for the parties and have perused the aforesaid order (Annexure P-1).

5. The Additional Chief Secretary in Para No.1 has given description of the facts of the case and thereafter in Para No.2 has simply stated that she has perused the order passed by the Appellate Authority which was under challenge and was dismissed on the ground of delay of 15 years in filing and the revision petition, which is stated to be an appeal, has been disposed of. The aforesaid order is *ex facie* cryptic and non-speaking order.

6. In view of the above, the present petition is partly allowed. The impugned order dated 21.05.2024 (Annexure P-1) is hereby set aside. The matter is remitted back to respondent No.1-Revisional Authority to pass a fresh order in accordance with law after hearing the petitioner or its counsel. The Revisional Authority shall pass a fresh order with fresh application of mind and strictly in accordance with law and also uninfluenced by the earlier orders passed by the Revisional Authority, Appellate Authority or even the order passed by this Court because this Court has not made any observation on the merits of the case and only the impugned order (Annexure P-1) has been set aside on the ground of being non-speaking and cryptic order. The fresh order shall be passed within a period of three months from today.

25.02.2026*Bhumika***(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)
JUDGE**

- | | |
|-------------------------------|--------|
| 1. Whether speaking/reasoned: | Yes/No |
| 2. Whether reportable: | Yes/No |