

2026:PHHC:022163-DB



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

207

LPA-666-2017 (O&M)

DATE OF DECISION: 12.02.2026

HUKAM CHAND AND ORS.

...APPELLANTS

VS.

**SUPERINTENDING CANAL
OFFICER AND OTHERS**

...RESPONDENTS

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS SURI**

Present: Mr. S.P.S. Tinna, Advocate for the appellants.

Mr. Jastej Singh, Addl. AG, Punjab.

Mr. Sandeep Jasuja, Advocate for respondents No. 3 to 5.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J.(ORAL)

After arguing for some time, learned counsel for the appellants submits that liberty be given to the appellants to seek sanction of the watercourse in question so that no prejudice is caused with regard to the irrigation of the land owned by the appellants.

2026:PHHC:022163-DB



LPA-666-2017 (O&M)

-2-

Ordered accordingly.

Pending application(s), if any, stands disposed of.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

February 12, 2026
Poonam Sharma

(VIKAS SURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No