



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

3

CRA-D-1138-2025 (O&M)
Reserved on :25.03.2026
Pronounced on : 21.05.2026
Uploaded on : 21.05.2026

Whether only operative part of the judgment is pronounced or the full Judgment is pronounced: **Full Judgment**

PRABHJIT SINGH @ PRABHA**... APPELLANT****Versus****STATE OF PUNJAB****... RESPONDENT**

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SHEEL NAGU, CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY**

Present:- Mr. Ankur Bansal, Advocate (arguing counsel)
Mr. Ruhani Chadha, Advocate for the appellant.

Mr. Salil Sabhlok, Sr. Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

SANJIV BERRY, J.

1. By way of instant appeal, appellant Prabhjit Singh @ Prabha has challenged the order dated 11.08.2025 passed by Ld. Additional Sessions Judge, Tarn Taran whereby regular bail application in FIR No.142 dated 07.09.2022, under Sections 387, 389, 120-B, 212, 216, IPC, Sections 25(6), 25(7)(F) of Arms Act, Sections 4, 5 of Explosives Substance Act, 1908 and Sections 13/16/17/18/18-B/20 of Unlawful Activity (Prevention) Act, 1967 (in short UAPA) registered at Police Station, Sarhali, District Tarn Taran, has been dismissed.

2. Heard.



3. It is *inter alia* contended by learned counsel for the appellant that the appellant is innocent and has been falsely implicated in this case and he is in custody since 05.04.2023. He contends that appellant was not named in the FIR but was nominated on the basis of disclosure statement of co-accused Nachhatar Singh. He contends that the trial is going on at snails pace and it will take sufficient time for conclusion of trial, as such, the appellant is unnecessarily lingering in custody, hence prayed for grant of bail by acceptance of appeal.

4. Per contra, learned counsel for the State has opposed grant of bail to the petitioner by arguing that the appellant had been a member of terrorist outfit indulging in terrorist activities. He contends that after conclusion of investigation, challan has been presented in Court and charges are yet to be framed. He contends that as per custody certificate, appellant is involved in 8 other criminal cases, hence he prayed for dismissal of the appeal.

5 After considering the rival contentions and perusing the record, it transpires that on the basis of secret information the instant FIR was registered and during investigation, one Nacchatar Singh was arrested and from his possession, arms and explosives were recovered and on his disclosure statement, appellant was nominated and arrested on production warrant on 05.04.2023. After completion of investigation, challan was presented in Court and charges are yet to be framed.

6. As per custody certificate, the appellant is involved in 8 other criminal cases involving offences under Arms Act as well as NDPS Act.

7. Considering the nature and gravity of offence and also the fact that charges are yet to be framed and the appellant is involved in 8 other criminal



cases, no ground of linency is made out in his favour, at this stage for grant of bail, as such the appeal stands dismissed.

8. Pending application(s) if any, stands disposed of.

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

(SHEEL NAGU)
CHIEF JUSTICE

Dated:21.05.2026
Gyan

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |