

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH****135****CWP-24946-2025****DATE OF DECISION: 23.03.2026****VISHAL CHAUDHARY****... Petitioner****Versus****STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS****... Respondents****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK MANCHANDA**

Present: Mr. Sanjiv Gupta, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Umesh Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Anant Kataria, DAG, Haryana.


Mr. Sharad Aggarwal, Advocate for respondent No.3.

SUVIR SEHGAL, J. (ORAL)

1. Mr. Sanjiv Gupta, learned Senior counsel for the appellant has confined the prayer in the writ petition to a direction to respondent No.1 to decide the appeal Annexure P-13 which has been instituted under Section 350 of the Haryana Municipal Corporation Act, 1994. He has made reference to notices Annexures P-17 and P-18 issued by respondent No.1. Senior counsel asserts that the appeal is pending and has not been decided so far.

2. A short response has been filed on behalf of respondent No.4, however, the reply is silent regarding the pendency of the appeal.

3. State counsel is not in a position to apprise the Court as to whether the appeal has been decided or not.



4. In view of the above position, writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.1 to adjudicate the appeal within a period of three months from the date of communication of a copy of this order, if not decided so far.

(SUVIR SEHGAL)
JUDGE

(DEEPAK MANCHANDA)
JUDGE

23.03.2026
sapna

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No