



CRM-M-46629-2025

1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

111-3

CRM-M-46629-2025
Decided on: 24.03.2026

Apoorv MishraPetitioner

Versus

State of HaryanaRespondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present: Mr. Neeraj Sharma Shanilya, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Parveen Kumar, Addl. A.G., Haryana.
Mr. Ankit Aggarwal, Advocate for the complainant.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J.

1. Prayer in this petition, filed under Section 482 of the BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 438 Cr.P.C.), is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner, who has been booked in a criminal case arising out of First Information Report, as detailed hereunder:-

Name & age of Petitioner (s)	FIR No.	Date	Section(s)	Police Station	District
Apoorv Mishra	47	10.06.2025	318(4) BNS	Cyber Crime	Fatehabad, Haryana

2. After hearing learned counsel for the petitioner on 30.09.2025 following was recorded:

“1. On oral request made by counsel for the petitioners) (in all the three cases), complainant - Abhimanyu, son of Makhan Lal, resident of 2nd floor, Jatt Dharamshala, Near Old Bus Stand, City Fatehabad, (permanent address - Ratta Khera, Ratia, City



Ratia, Fatehabad), is hereby ordered to be impleaded as respondent No.2.

Let amended memo of parties be filed by counsel for the petitioners in the Registry, within a period of two days from today, without moving any separate application.

2. List again on 26.11.2025.

3. Let the newly added respondent No.2 be served for the next date of hearing, through dasti process, as well.

4. Since 50% of the amount, i.e., Rs.9,25,000/-, is likely to have already been paid by the petitioner to respondent No.2/complainant, petitioners (in all three cases) are directed to join the investigation within two weeks from today or as and when required by the investigating agency, and in the eventuality of the arrest, petitioners would be released on anticipatory bail, subject to their furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer. The petitioners shall also be abide by all the conditions laid down under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.).

5. Besides, it is directed that petitioners would hand over their passport to the Investigating Agency or to Court concerned, if they possess. Otherwise, would submit an affidavit, disclosing the fact that they do not possess any passport.

It is also directed that before leaving country any time during trial, petitioners would seek prior permission of the Court.

6. A photocopy of this order be placed on the files of other connected cases.”

3. Continuing his submissions, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that, in compliance with the order dated 30.09.2025 passed by this Court, petitioner has joined the investigation and has extended full cooperation. Apart from this, learned counsel submits that petitioner and complainant have resolved the dispute, and claims have been settled. It is further submitted that a petition bearing No. CRM-M-53280-2026 for quashing of the FIR on the basis of compromise has also been filed and is pending adjudication before this Court for 02.04.2026. Therefore, it is prayed that the interim anticipatory bail granted to the petitioner be confirmed.

4. On the other hand, learned State counsel, on instructions, confirms the factum of the petitioner having joined the investigation.

**CRM-M-46629-2025****3**

Learned counsel for the complainant also does not dispute the factum of the compromise arrived at between the parties.

5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the paper-book.

6. Since the matter has been compromised between the parties and petitioner has already joined the investigation, ad-interim bail order dated 30.09.2025 passed by this Court is hereby made absolute qua the petitioner, Apoorv Mishra. Accordingly, present petition is allowed.

It is made clear that petitioner shall continue to join the investigation as and when required to do so and abide by all the terms and conditions laid down under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.

7. However, it is clarified that in case the passport or an affidavit regarding non-possession of a passport, if he does not possess one, is not submitted to the Investigating Agency or the Court concerned within a period of one week from today, the present order shall be deemed to be inoperative and the Investigating Officer shall be at liberty to proceed with the arrest of the petitioner.

8. Accordingly, petition stands disposed of.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

24.03.2026

Rashmi

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: **YES/NO**
Whether Reportable: **YES/NO**