

264

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**ARB-525-2025 (O&M)
Date of decision: 21.01.2026**

M/S LECTRIX EV PRIVATE LIMITED AND ANR.

...Applicant(s)

VERSUS

M/S GREENFUEL ENERGY SOLUTIONS PRIVATE LIMITED

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI


Present:- Mr. Amulya Dhingra, Advocate for the applicants.
(Through Video Conferencing)

None for the respondent.

JASGURPREET SINGH PURI, J. (Oral)

1. The present application has been filed under Section 11(6) of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 (hereinafter referred to as 'the Act') seeking appointment of an independent Arbitrator to adjudicate the disputes and differences which have arisen between the parties.

2. Learned counsel for the applicants, who has joined the proceedings through video conferencing, submitted that in pursuance of the order passed by this Court on 19.12.2025, costs of Rs.10,000/- have been deposited. He submitted that the GST Invoice contains an arbitration clause in the form of terms and conditions. He further submitted that a dispute arose between the parties and the applicants invoked the arbitration clause by issuing a notice dated 04.07.2025 (Annexure P-4) but no response was received from the



respondent and therefore, the present application has been filed seeking appointment of an independent Arbitrator.

3. I have heard the learned counsel for the applicants appearing through video conferencing.

4. The respondent has chosen not to appear before this Court despite having been served. On 11.11.2025, the following order was passed:-

“As per the report of the Registry, notice has been duly served upon the respondent. However, dasti summons have not been collected by the learned counsel for the petitioners.


*None has appeared on behalf of the respondent.
Adjourned to 05.12.2025, for final arguments.”*

5. Even today, none has appeared on behalf of the respondent despite the matter was called twice.

6. After perusing the arbitration clause and the notice issued by the applicants vide Annexure P-4 invoking the said arbitration clause, this Court is of the considered view that all the essential conditions for appointment of a Sole Arbitrator under Section 11 of the Act stand satisfied.

7. In view of the above, the present application is allowed. Mr. Justice Rajiv Sharma, a former Judge of this Court, resident of House No. 505 (Backside First Floor), Sector 36-B, Chandigarh, mobile No.-9816700002, e-mail ID-rajivtrisha99@gmail.com, is nominated as the Sole Arbitrator to adjudicate the dispute between the parties, subject to compliance of statutory provisions including Section 12 of the Act.

8. Parties are directed to appear before the learned Arbitrator on date, time and place to be fixed and communicated by the learned Arbitrator at his convenience.



- 9. Fee shall be paid to the learned Arbitrator in accordance with the Fourth Schedule of the Arbitration Act, as amended.
- 10. Learned Arbitrator is also requested to complete the proceedings as per the time limit prescribed under Section 29-A of the Act.
- 11. A request letter alongwith a copy of the order be sent to Mr. Justice Rajiv Sharma, a former Judge of this Court.

21.01.2026
Chetan Thakur

(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No