



CWP-27376-2022 (O&M)

1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

111

CWP-27376-2022 (O&M)

Date of decision: 29.04.2026

Nirbhai Singh (since deceased) through his LRs

....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSH BUNGER

Present: Mr. Navdeep Jain, Advocate for
Mr. Sunny K. Singla, Advocate
for the petitioner.

HARSH BUNGER J. (Oral)

1 Petition herein is, *inter alia*, seeking a writ in the nature of Certiorari, for quashing of order dated 27.05.2022 (Annexure P-7) passed by learned Financial Commissioner (Appeals), Punjab; order dated 05.10.2015 (Annexure P-5), order dated 17.07.2015 (Annexure P-4), order dated 25.03.2015 (Annexure P-3); order dated 28.11.2014 (Annexure P-2) and order dated 17.09.2014 (Annexure P-1) passed by learned Assistant Collector, 1st Grade-cum-Naib Tehsildar, Bhawanigarh, District Sangrur.

2. On 01.12.2022, following order was passed:-

“During the course of partition proceedings, a Sanad has been issued. Aggrieved, the petitioner approached the Financial Commissioner by way of a revision petition but the same has been dismissed. Thus, the present writ petition has been filed.

The Financial Commissioner has recorded a finding of fact that the petitioner was served in the year 2012 and yet he did not put in appearance. He waited till the time ex parte proceedings were initiated against him.



Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the said finding is perverse. In fact, the petitioner was never served. Procedure prescribed by law was also not followed by the Assistant Collector as Naksha Alf was sanctioned prior to affecting service upon the parties. Sanad is also in violation of the mode of partition. He, however, seeks time to place on record evidence to show that the petitioner was never served.

Adjourned sine die.

To be listed as and when an appropriate application in this regard is filed.”

3. In pursuance to the aforesaid extracted order, it appears that till date no efforts have been made by the petitioner to place on record any evidence/material indicating that petitioner was never served, accordingly, registry has put up this matter today, after a gap of more than three years.

4. Today, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that he is not in contact with the petitioner.

5. Keeping in view the above, the present writ petition is dismissed for non-prosecution.

6. All the pending application(s), if any, shall also stand closed.

7. Copy of this order be sent to the petitioner at the address given in the Memorandum of Parties.

29.04.2026

ankit

(HARSH BUNGER)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:
Whether reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No