



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

(145-1)

CWP-25889-2024

Date of decision:- 14.01.2026

HARMESH CHAND GOYAL

... Petitioner

Versus

STATE BANK OF INDIA AND OTHERS

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL

Present:- Mr. Jaspreet Singh, Advocate for
Mr. Shyam Singh Chhokar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Varun Chawla, Advocate
for respondent No.1-SBI.

SUVIR SEHGAL, J. (ORAL)

1. This petition has been filed *inter alia* for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to remove the hold from bank accounts of the petitioner.
2. Written statement has been filed on behalf of SBI-respondent No.1, wherein it has been deposed as under:-

"It is respectfully submitted that order dated 26.06.2024 was received, passed by the Court of Ld. Sh. Rajat Goyal, Chief Metropolitan Magistrate, South-West District, Dwarka Courts, New Delhi and reply dated 26.06.2024 filed by PS Cyber Crime, Dwarka, New Delhi wherein it was directed that an amount of Rs.65,000/- and Rs.40,000/- as directed by the Hon'ble Court was released to the account of beneficiary on 22.01.2025. The copy of order and reply filed by the Cyber Crime are attached herewith as Annexure R-1 and R-2. That on the release of the amount to the



beneficiary, the hold on the account was removed by the Respondent no.1 Branch.

Further, it is respectfully submitted that on receiving Letter/Instructions memo no.227 dated 14.10.2024 from the Police Officer, P.S. Mata Ka Than, Jodhpur East along with Orders dated 04.10.2024 of the Court of Ld. Chief Judicial Magistrate, (Economic offence), Jodhpur an amount of Rs.44,000/- as directed by the Hon'ble Court was released to the account of the beneficiary on 23.01.2025. The copy of the Letter/Instructions dated 14.10.2024 and Order dated 04.10.2024 are attached herewith as Annexure R-3 and R-4. That on the release of the amount to the beneficiary, the hold on the account was removed by the Respondent no.1 Branch. It is respectfully submitted that the answering respondent had disclosed all material and relevant facts and it is the petitioner who is trying to mislead Hon'ble Court by presenting vague, misleading and concocted facts. The copy of account statements are attached herewith as Annexure R-5 and R-6.”

3. In view of the above development, writ petition has become infructuous and is dismissed as such.

(SUVIR SEHGAL)
JUDGE

14.01.2026
parul verma

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No