



130 (19 cases)

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Civil Revision No. 5668 of 2025 (O&M)
and “18” connected cases
Date of Decision: 03.02.2026**

Surender Pal alias Surinder Pal

..... Petitioner

Versus

Haryana State and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARKESH MANUJA

Present: Mr. Akshay Jindal, Sr. Advocate, assisted by
Mr. Tushar Kush, Advocate;
Mr. Sandeep Sharma, Advocate;
Mr. Sudhir Aggarwal, Advocate;
Mr. Ashish Gupta, Advocate;
Mr. Amit Jain, Advocate;
Mr. Tribhawan Singla, Advocate;
Mr. Saurabh Garg, Advocate;
Mr. Parminder Singh, Advocate;
Mr. Manoj Kumar Taya, Advocate;
Mr. Atul Yadav, Advocate;
Mr. Govind Rana, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Ms. Komal Sharma, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Pritam Singh Saini, Advocate
for the respondent(s)-HSI IDC.

Mr. Shivendra Swaroop, Advocate and
Mr. Siddhanth Arora, Advocate
for respondent-HSVP (in CR Nos. 1554 & 1808 of 2023)

Mr. Harmanjot Singh Gill, Advocate
for the respondent(s)-HSVP (in some cases)

HARKESH MANUJA, J. (ORAL)

This order shall dispose off the present nineteen (19) revision
petitions bearing CR Nos. 1554, 1808, 3645 & 6405 of 2023; 1 of 2024;

2478, 3549, 3550, 3869, 4229, 4251, 5132, 6346, 6612, 8498, 5429, **5668 (lead case)** & 9648 of 2025; and 1085 of 2026, as the same arise out of a common issue. For the sake of convenience, the facts are being culled out from CR No. 5668 of 2025.

[2] By way of present revision petition bearing CR No. 5668 of 2025, challenge has been laid to an order dated 06.05.2025 passed by the Court of learned Additional District Judge, Gurugram-cum-Executing Court, whereby the execution petition preferred at the instance of petitioner, seeking directions to the respondents for making the payment of compensation of the acquired land in the same terms of award/judgment in the matter of other co-sharer, was dismissed.

[3] In the cases where notices have not been issued as yet, on asking of the Court, Ms. Komal Sharma, DAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent(s)-State of Haryana; Mr. Harmanjot Singh Gill, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent(s)-HSVP, whereas Mr. Pritam Singh Saini, Advocate, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent(s)-HSI IDC.

[4] I have heard learned counsel for the parties and gone through the paper-book.

[5] A perusal of the order shows that the execution petition preferred at the instance of petitioner(s)-landowner(s) was dismissed merely on the ground that they did not choose to prefer any objection under Section 18 or 28-A of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 (**for short “the Act”**), yet filed an execution application based on an award/determination made in favour of their co-sharers in the acquired land.

[6] The aforesaid legal issue already stands decided by the Hon’ble Apex Court vide decision dated **13.01.2026** passed in **Civil Appeal**

No(s).391 - 398 of 2026 (@slp (C) No(S).4532-4539 of 2023), titled **“Ramphal & Ors. Versus Haryana State Industrial And Infrastructure Development Corporation Limited & Ors”**, wherein the Hon’ble Supreme Court has been pleased to uphold the claims made by the co-sharers with regard to entitlement of similar amount of compensation as granted in favour of their co-owners in the acquired land, however, restricted the award of interest. Relevant paras 11 to 14 from the aforesaid decision dated 13.01.2026 are extracted hereunder:-

“11. In fact, we have been informed at the Bar by the learned counsel appearing for the appellants, which is not seriously disputed by the learned senior counsel appearing for the respondents, the fact that the land which was acquired, has been utilized for the purposes of formation of industrial sites and allotted to the needy persons in accordance with the Rules then prevalent. In other words, the acquiring body is also benefited to certain extent, which is an instrumentality of the State. Thus, the scales have to be balanced in this scenario.

12. We are of the considered view that apart from the compensation, the appellants would be entitled to the interest for a period of five years to be reckoned from today backwards and we make it explicitly clear that the appellants are not entitled for any interest for any other 6 period. We would also hasten to add that the appellants would be entitled to all other consequential benefits which flow from award of compensation and the respondent(s) authorities shall compute the compensation as has been determined by the award passed under Section 18 of the Act and/or modified by the High Court or this Court in exercise of appellate jurisdiction.

13. The said determination shall be made by the authorities expeditiously and at any rate within an outer limit of three months from the date of this order and the

amounts so determined shall be disbursed within an outer limit of three months for such determination with interest as specified therein.

14. In the event of time line which has been fixed by this Court is not adhered to by the respondent(s) authorities, they shall be liable to pay interest @ nine per cent (9%) on the amounts so determined from the date of such determination.”

[7] In view of the aforesaid decision rendered by the Hon’ble Supreme Court, the present petition is allowed and the impugned order is set aside. The matter is remitted back to the learned Executing Court concerned for fresh adjudication and in case the petitioner(s) are found to be co-sharers in the acquired land parcels alongwith those who preferred reference under Section 18 or 28-A of the Act, the necessary amount as determined finally alongwith statutory benefits and interest thereupon be released in their favour within the time period stipulated in ***Ramphal’s case (supra)***.

[8] Needless to say that the determination of compensation and release thereof in favour of the petitioner(s) be made in terms of decision rendered by the Hon’ble Supreme Court in ***Ramphal’s case (supra)***.

[9] Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed off.

February 03, 2026
‘dk kamra’

(HARKESH MANUJA)
JUDGE

<i>Whether Speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>