

2026.PHHC.064983



101

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-26134-2024 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 28.04.2026

M/s Bal Chand Siri Ram Through Its Proprietor
and another

..... Petitioners

Versus

Union of India and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSH BUNGER

Present: Mr. Ashok Singla, Advocate and
Ms. Vaishali Singla, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Rajiv Sharma, Senior Central Govt. Counsel and
Ms. Indu Bala, Advocate
for respondents No.1 to 3.

Mr. Abhimanyu Anil, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Rajeev Sharma, Advocate and
Mr. Kapish Singla, Advocate
for respondent No.6.

Mr. Bahhar Bhan, Advocate,
Mr. Jagdeep S.Rana, Advocate and
Mr. Arpi. K.Bangar, Advocate
for respondent No.7.

HARSH BUNGER J. (ORAL)

Petition herein is, *inter alia*, seeking a writ in the nature of
Certiorari for setting aside the order dated 30.08.2024 (Annexure P-15)
passed by respondent No.3 – Joint Chief Controller of Explosives,

Petroleum & Explosives Safety Organization, Faridabad, whereby the license granted to petitioner No.1-Firm (valid till 2033) to run the petrol pump has been suspended.

1.1 A further prayer has been made for directing respondent No.2 – Chief Controller of Explosives, Petroleum & Explosives Safety Organization, Nagpur, to decide the statutory appeal (Annexure P-19), preferred by the petitioners, challenging the aforesaid order dated 30.08.2024 (Annexure P-15), in a time bound manner.

2. A perusal of the order sheets reveals that on 28.11.2024, this Court had stayed the operation and effect of the impugned order dated 30.08.2024 (Annexure P-15) till the next date of hearing, which interim protection has continued till date.

3. Today, learned counsel for the respective respondents submit that they would have no objection in case respondent No.2 – Chief Controller of Explosives, Petroleum & Explosives Safety Organization, Nagpur, is directed to decide the statutory appeal (Annexure P-19) preferred by the petitioners in a time bound manner. It is further submitted that the respondents would have no difficulty in case the interim order dated 28.11.2024 passed by this Court, staying the operation and effect of the impugned order dated 30.08.2024 (Annexure P-15), is permitted to be continued till the decision of the said statutory appeal (Annexure P-19).

4. At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioners prays that the interim protection granted by this Court, vide order dated 28.11.2024, may further be directed to remain in force for a period of two weeks from the date of decision of the statutory appeal (Annexure P-19).

5. Learned counsel for the respective respondents do not raise any

objection to the aforesaid course being adopted.

6. Keeping in view the broad consensus arrived at between the parties and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the controversy, the instant writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 – Chief Controller of Explosives, Petroleum & Explosives Safety Organization, Nagpur/competent authority, to decide the statutory appeal (Annexure P-19) preferred by the petitioners, challenging the order dated 30.08.2024 (Annexure P-15), within a period of four months from today, in accordance with law.

6.1 It is further directed that the order dated 28.11.2024 passed by this Court, staying the operation and effect of the impugned order dated 30.08.2024 (Annexure P-15), shall continue to remain in force during the pendency of the statutory appeal (Annexure P-19) before respondent No.2 – Chief Controller of Explosives, Petroleum & Explosives Safety Organization, Nagpur/competent authority, and shall further remain operative for a period of two weeks from the date of decision of the said statutory appeal.

7. The present writ petition is disposed of in the aforestated terms.

8. All the pending application(s), if any, shall also stand closed.

28.04.2026

Apurva

(HARSH BUNGER)
JUDGE

1. Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

2. Whether reportable : Yes/No