



CRM-M-45905-2025

1

107

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-45905-2025

PARAMJIT KAUR

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB

.....RESPONDENT

DECIDED ON: 20.03.2026**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH.**

Present: Mr. Kuldip Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Neeraj Madaan, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J (ORAL)

1. Present petition has been filed by the petitioner, seeking grant of anticipatory bail in case General Diary/rapat No.48 dated 13.05.2025 under Sections 331(6), 324(4), 115(2), 191(3), 190 of BNS, registered at Police Station Amir Khas, District Fazilka, in cross-case bearing FIR No.31 dated 13.05.2025, under Section(s) 331(6), 118, 115(2), 324(4), 191(3), 190 of BNS, registered at Police Station Amir Khas, District Fazilka.

2. On 21.08.2025, following order was passed:-

“2. *Learned counsel for the petitioner, inter alia, contends that the matter essentially arises out of a family dispute, as petitioner is wife of one Vikas, who is son of the complainant, namely Harjinder Kaur (mother-in-law of the petitioner). It is submitted that petitioner has been implicated in a cross-complaint case relating to the same incident.*

Regarding this, FIR No.31 dated 13.05.2025, registered under Sections 331(6), 118, 115(2), 324(4), 191(3), and 190 of the BNS, is already lodged at Police Station Amir Khas, District Fazilka.



CRM-M-45905-2025

2

3. *Allegation against the petitioner is that she was armed with a stick and, by pushing the complainant down, caused the demolition of a newly built wall in the common area of the house. However, petitioner is ready to join the investigation and fully cooperate, if protected from arrest by this Court. Thus, prays for grant of concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in the present case.*

4. *Notice of motion.*

5. *On advance notice, Mr. Neeraj Madaan, Sr. DAG, Punjab, puts in appearance on behalf of the respondent – State, and seeks some time to file status report in the matter.*

However, to prevent loss to the State Exchequer, the appearance of the concerned respondent(s) in the subsequent proceedings will only be recognized, if the requisite process fee is deposited by the petitioner(s), within a period of one week from today.

6. *Adjourned to 30.10.2025.*

7. *Meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation as and when required to do so by the Investigating Agency. In the event of his arrest, the petitioner shall be released on ad-interim bail, subject to his furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer. The petitioner shall also be abide by all the conditions laid down under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.).*

8. *Besides, it is directed that petitioner would hand over his passport to the Investigating Agency or to Court concerned, if he possesses. Otherwise, would submit an affidavit, disclosing the fact that he does not possess any passport.*

It is also directed that before leaving country any time during trial, petitioner would seek prior permission of the Court.

9. *Since the dispute is amongst the family members, let both the parties i.e. the petitioner and complainant be present before this Court on the next date of hearing for making efforts to resolve the dispute amicably.*

To be taken up at 2.00 P.M.”

3. After hearing the submissions addressed by counsel for the petitioner(s), on 30.10.2025, following order was passed in the present petition, i.e. CRM-M-45905-2025, and other connected petitions, i.e.



CRM-M-45905-2025

3

CRM-M-40208-2025, CRM-M-45846-2025, CRM-M-46969-2025,

CRM-M-46990-2025, CRM-M-57567-2025 and CRM-M-58971-2025:-

“i) In compliance with the directions dated 21.08.2025 passed in CRM-M-45905-2025, the following persons are present in Court: Paramjit Kaur (petitioner), her husband Vikas Singh (petitioner in

CRM-M-46990- 2025), and Smt. Harjinder Kaur (complainant in the cross case, which has been filed against Paramjit Kaur, Vikas Singh, and others). Other petitions have been filed by friends and relatives of the parties detailed above.

ii) After some deliberations in open Court, it has been revealed that the actual dispute is between two brothers, i.e., petitioner Vikas Singh (in CRM-M-46990-2025) and petitioner Karan Singh (in CRM-M-58971- 2025), who is siding with his mother Harjinder Kaur, while Vikas Singh is married and with his wife Paramjit Kaur. Presently, they are ready to resolve their family dispute before the Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this Court.

iii) Let all the concerned parties, i.e., accused and complainant/victim in the FIR case as well as in cross case, be present before the Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this Court on 12.11.2025.

iv) Let an experienced mediator, having sufficient expertise, be appointed for resolving the family dispute.

v) For awaiting report, adjourned to 29.01.2026.

vi) Interim order to continue.

vii) A photocopy of this order be placed on the files of other connected cases.”

4. Incident in question has resulted in both version and a cross-version being recorded by the respective affected parties. FIR No. 31 dated 13.05.2025 was registered under Sections 331(6), 118, 115(2), 324(4), 191(3), and 190 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita (BNS), on the basis of the statement made by Paramjeet Kaur. In response thereto, a cross-version was also registered at the instance of the accused party in the present case, vide DDR No.48 dated 13.05.2025.



CRM-M-45905-2025

4

5. As per the report received from the Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this Court, petitioner(s) (in all the present case as well as connected cases, mentioned here above) have amicably settled their dispute with the complainant, through a compromise agreement.

6. Vide settlement agreement dated 12.11.2025, all the parties have agreed to withdraw all the proceedings arising out of the FIR as well as cross-version case.

7. Terms and conditions of the compromise, as recorded in paragraph No.4(a) to 4(e) of the report submitted by the Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this Court, are reproduced below:-

"Terms & Conditions"

(a) *The parties have agreed and accepted that the terms of the present settlement shall be applicable to the parties signing the present settlement as well as their friend and well wishers involved in the case who have filed separate petitions as noticed and directed by the Hon'ble High Court in the referral order dated 30.10.2025.*

(b) *The parties have agreed and accepted that both brothers shall jointly measure the dimension towards West side that is 102 Feet as half each i.e. 51 feet each and shall put a common point 'C' as shown on site-plan (Annexure-A) and after measuring the East side i.e. 86 Feet also as half-half i.e. 43 feet each and at Point 'D' on the site-plan shall put a common pillar share of Vikas Singh is marked as CBGD and share of Karna Singh is marked as CAFD as shown on site-plan signed by all persons present.*

(c) *The parties have further agreed and accepted that Karan Singh shall construct a wall from road i.e. from point 'C' to the point 'E' parallel to the construction of Karan Singh as shown on site-plan in his own share i.e. the wall shall be within 51 feet. The parties have drawn a site plan and signed the same and is attached herewith as Annexure-A and shall form the part of present settlement.*



CRM-M-45905-2025

5

(d) *The parties have further agreed and accepted that the measurement and putting pillars shall be done within 15 days from today.*

(e) *All the parties have agreed and accepted to file quashing petition of the FIR i.e. No.31 dated*

13.05.2025 under Section 331(6), 118, 115(2), 324(4), 191(3), 190 of BNS registered at Police Station Amir Khas, District Fazilka Punjab and cross case i.e. General Diary/Rapat Roznamcha No.48 dated 13.05.2025 under Section 331(6) 324(4), 115(2), 191(3), 190 of BNS registered at Police Station Amir Khas, District Fazilka Punjab on the basis of present settlement. All persons shall be bound to make statement or submit affidavit as the case may be or as directed by the Hon'ble High Court.”

8. On the other hand, learned State counsel while vehemently opposes for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner, submits that some of the accused who have already granted the concession of interim relief by this Court, have neither fully cooperated the investigating agency nor joined the investigation, when called upon to do so. Thus, he prays for dismissal of the present petition.

9. This Court has heard the submissions addressed by counsel for the parties and has also gone through the record available before it.

10. In view of the settlement arrived at between the parties, **this Court deems it appropriate to dispose of all the present petitions**, by directing the petitioner to join the investigation within two weeks from today, or as and when called by the investigating agency, and in the eventuality of the arrest, petitioner would be released on anticipatory bail, subject to his furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer. The petitioner shall also be abide by all the conditions laid down under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.).



CRM-M-45905-2025

6

10. Besides, it is directed that petitioner would hand over his passport to the Investigating Agency or to Court concerned, if they possesses. Otherwise, would submit an affidavit, disclosing the fact that he does not possess any passport.

It is also directed that before leaving country any time during trial, petitioner would seek prior permission of the Court.

11. With the directions recorded here above, present petition stands disposed of.

20.03.2026

Lavisha

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>