

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

205

2026:PHHC:024775



CRM-M-46108-2025 (O&M).
Date of decision: 17.02.2026.

Yxxxxxx

...Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB

...Respondent(s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present :- Ms. Anmol Thakur, Advocate, for
Mr. Deepak Arora, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Mohit Kapoor, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

The present petition has been filed under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, for grant of pre-arrest bail to the petitioner in case bearing FIR No.26 dated 09.03.2024, under Section(s) 323, 326, 341, 294, 506 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860, registered at Police Station Nangal, District Rupnagar.

While issuing notice of motion on 22.08.2025, the following order was passed: -

“Present petition has been filed under Section 482 of BNSS for

grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR No.26 dated 09.03.2024 under Sections 323, 326, 341, 294, 506 and 34 of IPC, registered at Police Station Nangal, District Rupnagar.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has contended that the petitioner was a juvenile at the time of the alleged occurrence. No specific injury has been attributed to the petitioner and the grievous injury has been attributed to the co-accused. He has further submitted that the petitioner is ready and willing to join the investigation.

Notice of motion.

Ms. Gagandeep Kaur, DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and seeks time to file status report.

List on 04.11.2025.

Meanwhile, the petitioner shall join the investigation and would come present as and when called for and in the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on interim bail on his furnishing personal and surety bonds to the satisfaction of Arresting/ Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall also abide by the conditions as specified under Section 482(2) BNSS.”

Status report dated 03.11.2025 was filed on 04.11.2025 informing that the petitioner had not joined investigation in compliance to the aforesaid order, yet, another opportunity was granted to the petitioner to join investigation.

On resumed hearing, State counsel contends that the petitioner has still not joined investigation.

Counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that she has not received any instructions from the petitioner and thus she is not in a position to offer any explanation as to why the petitioner has not joined investigation

despite the orders passed by this Court and opportunity having been granted repeatedly. It seems that the petitioner is avoiding appearance before the Investigating Officer to join investigation and is misusing the concession of interim bail granted to him.

The present petition is accordingly dismissed. The interim protection stands vacated.

February 17, 2026.
raj arora

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No