



CRM-M-45853-2025

1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

104

CRM-M-45853-2025

Date of Decision: 19.03.2026

SWARAN SINGH

...PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB

...RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S.GREWAL.

Present: MR. K.S.Dargan, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Abnash Singh, Advocate for the complainant.

Mr. P.S.Pandher, Asst. A.G.Punjab

H.S. GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

1. This petition has been filed under Section 482 of BNSS with a prayer for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No. 104 dated 03.07.2025 under Section 318(4) of BNS registered at Police Station Arniwala, District Fazilka.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. He further submits that there is no proof of any cash amount having been paid by the complainant to the petitioner, and no specific role has been attributed to the petitioner.
3. Learned counsel for the complainant submits that the petitioner received ₹4,30,000/- on the pretext of securing a Government job for the complainant's wife and issued her a forged joining letter. Thereafter, she joined Civil Hospital, Bathinda, but it was later discovered that the documents were forged, the job was not a Government job and the same was a contractual job, and she was paid only ₹13,000/- instead of the promised ₹24,000/-. Thus, the complainant was cheated by the petitioner.

**CRM-M-45853-2025****2**

4. On the other hand, learned State counsel submits that the petitioner has committed a serious offence and, therefore, does not deserve the concession of bail.

6. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and have perused the record.

7. Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case, as well as the seriousness of the allegations, this Court is of the opinion that the petitioner is not entitled to the grant of anticipatory bail. There are numerous cases where innocent persons are lured and cheated on the false promise of securing government jobs. Such offences are grave in nature, require thorough investigation, and, therefore, the present case is not a fit one for grant of anticipatory bail.

8. Accordingly, present petition stands dismissed.

19.03.2026
renu

(H.S.GREWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether Reportable: Yes/No