



CRM-M-45828-2025
CRM-M-48373-2025 and
CRM-M-2065-2026

1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

229

CRM-M-45828-2025

Gamdoor Singh @ Khadar

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab
229-2

...Respondent

CRM-M-48373-2025

Pardeep Kumar @ Deepu

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

251

CRM-M-2065-2026

Beant Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

Decided on: 27.01.2026

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present: Mr. N.S. Chahal, Advocate for the petitioner
(in CRM-M-45828-2025).

Ms. Manpreet Ghuman, Advocate for the petitioner
(in CRM-M-48373-2025).

Mr. Joban Singh Dhaliwal, Advocate for the petitioner
(in CRM-M-2065-2026).

Mr. Vinay Malhotra, DAG, Punjab

SANJAY VASHISTH, J.(Oral)

1. By way of this common order, the aforementioned petitions are being disposed of together, as they arise out of one common FIR.
2. Present petitions have been filed under Section 483 of the BNSS, seeking regular bail in connection with FIR No. 100 dated



CRM-M-45828-2025
CRM-M-48373-2025 and
CRM-M-2065-2026

2

02.07.2024, registered under Sections 22(c)/61/85 of the NDPS Act at Police Station Jaito, District Faridkot.

3. First anticipatory bail petition, i.e., **CRM-M-10373-2025**, filed by **Gamdoor Singh @ Khadar**, was dismissed by the co-ordinate bench of this Court vide its order dated **05.03.2025**. Similarly, the first bail petition, i.e., **CRM-M-49345-2024**, filed by **Beant Singh**, was dismissed as withdrawn vide order dated **31.01.2025**. The petitioner **Pardeep Kumar @ Deepu** has filed his first bail petition.

4. As per the prosecution, all three accused, namely Pardeep Kumar @ Deepu, Beant Singh, and Gamdoor Singh @ Khadar, were boarded a motorcycle bearing registration No. PB 04 AB 6396, a black Bajaj CT 100. The motorcycle was driven by Pardeep Kumar (petitioner in CRM-M-48373-2025), with Beant Singh (petitioner in CRM-M-2065-2026) as the pillion rider, and the third accused, Gamdoor Singh @ Khadar (petitioner in CRM-M-45828-2025), seated behind. A transparent polythene packet containing 1250 tablets (125 strips of Tramadol Hydrochloride Tablets USP-100 mg, Tramowell-100, each strip containing 10 tablets) was found tied to the motorcycle.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the allegations are unbelievable, as no one would keep such a heavy quantity of tablets in a transparent polythene bag, and that too, openly tied to a motorcycle. It is, in fact, contended that the petitioners have been implicated in a false case by the police. It is further argued that none of the petitioners has previously been involved in any criminal case,



CRM-M-45828-2025
CRM-M-48373-2025 and
CRM-M-2065-2026

3

particularly of a similar nature. Counsel further submits that, despite being in custody for a period of more than one year and six months, the trial has not made any progress. In view of the above, petitioners pray for the grant of regular bail.

6. On the other hand, learned State counsel has filed the **custody certificates** of the petitioners in Court today, which are taken on record. As per the custody certificates, none of the petitioners is found to have been involved in any other criminal activity punishable under the **NDPS Act**.

It is, however, noticed that petitioner-**Gamdoor Singh @ Khadar** and **Beant Singh** are facing proceedings in other offences under the **IPC**.

7. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the paper-books as well as the status report appended thereto.

8. Without adverting to the merits of the case or the submissions addressed by learned counsel for the parties, or whether the allegations are false or appear to be true, this Court finds it surprising that, despite the petitioners being in jail for the last one year and six months, none of the prosecution witnesses have been examined till date out of a total of 18 witnesses. It is also noticed that none of the petitioners has been involved in any other similar activity.

In such circumstances, where the accused are involved for the first time in an offence of this nature, they deserve an opportunity to



CRM-M-45828-2025
CRM-M-48373-2025 and
CRM-M-2065-2026

4

rehabilitate. Considering the long period of pre-trial custody, the non-progression of the trial, and the fact that the petitioners have no prior record under the NDPS Act, this Court is of the opinion that prejudice is likely to be caused by further detention.

Accordingly, present petitions are allowed. Petitioners are ordered to be released on regular bail, subject to furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned Trial Court/Chief Judicial Magistrate/Illaq Magistrate/Duty Magistrate concerned, provided they are not required in connection with any other case.

9. Any discussion recorded herein shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the facts of the case. The learned trial Court is expected to decide the matter independently, based on the evidence available on record, and expeditiously in accordance with law.

10. In the above terms, the present petitions stand disposed of.

11. A photocopy of this order be placed on the file of other connected cases.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

January 27, 2026
Rashmi

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: **YES/NO**
Whether Reportable: **YES/NO**