



102

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

COCp-4226-2025
Date of decision: 24.09.2025

Komal ...Petitioner

Versus

Vineet Garg and another ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr. S.S. Patter, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Raj Partap Singh Brar, AAG, Haryana.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that in the present case, respondent No.3 in the writ petition was directed to comply with the order but inadvertently, he has not been made a party to the present contempt petition. It is further submitted that as per his instructions, necessary relief would be granted to the petitioner within a period of one month. It is submitted that in the said circumstances, the present contempt petition be disposed of but liberty be granted to the petitioner to revive the same, if the need so arises. It is further submitted that, in case any such revival application is filed, an application for impleading the necessary party would also be filed along with it.
2. In view of the above, the present contempt petition is disposed of with liberty aforesaid.
3. It is made clear that, in case any such revival application is filed, an application for impleading the necessary party shall also be filed along with the same.

24.09.2025

Pawan

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes/No

Whether reportable:-

Yes/No