IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

215 COCP-3174-2023 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 22.04.2026

Vishal Kumar and others …. Petitioners

Versus

Ms. Komal Mittal .... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NIDHI GUPTA

Present: - None for the petitioners.

Mr. Amtiaz Sandhu, AAG, Punjab.

NIDHI GUPTA, J. (ORAL)

1. The present contempt petition has been filed alleging violation of order dated 10.03.2019 (Annexure P-1) passed by the Hon'ble the Ist Division Bench in CWP-PIL-191-2019, titled as 'Court on its own motion vs. Union of India and others'.

2. Learned counsel for the respondent has pointed out that the petitioners have to implead the concerned Municipal Corporation, Hoshiarpur as a party respondent as, at the time of filing of present petition, the charge was with the respondent herein; whereas now the charge is with the Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Hoshiarpur. It is further submitted that in any event, the present contempt petition has been filed alleging non-compliance of a general direction issued by the Hon'ble Ist Division Bench of this Court which is not made out in the facts and circumstances of the present case.

RISHU KATARIA
2026.04.24 13:26
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this
order/judgment.

3. There is no representation on behalf of the petitioners despite the case having been called twice. Similar is the position on the last 02 dates of hearing i.e. 04.09.2025 and 13.11.2025.

4. In view of the facts noted above and also the fact that the petitioners have exhibited an utterly casual attitude in his pursuit of the present litigation. It is to be appreciated that very valuable public time of the Court has been expended in affording opportunities to the petitioners in the interest of justice. On the other hand, the petitioners have adopted an utterly casual and careless approach. It is clear that neither the petitioners nor their counsel are seriously interested in pursuing the present matter. Thus, this Court is left with no other option except to **dismiss** the same for non-prosecution.

5. Ordered accordingly.

6. Rule stands discharged.

7. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

22.04.2026 **(NIDHI GUPTA)**
rishu **JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether Reportable Yes/No

RISHU KATARIA
2026.04.24 13:26
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this
order/judgment.