



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

236

RSA-5072-2018 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 23.02.2026

Dakshin Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam Ltd. & ors.

.... Appellants

Versus

Om Parkash Taneja

.... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NIDHI GUPTA

Present: - Mr. Subhash Saini, Advocate for
Mr. Rattan Singh Longia, Advocate
for the appellant.

NIDHI GUPTA, J. (ORAL)

1. The appellant-defendant is in second appeal against the concurrent judgments and decrees rendered by both the District Courts whereby the suit for declaration with consequential relief of permanent injunction filed by the respondent-plaintiff was decreed.

2. At the very outset, learned counsel for the appellant submits that the question of law involved in the present appeal as to whether jurisdiction of the Civil Court is barred in view of Section 145 of the Electricity Act, 2003, has since been decided by the Hon'ble Division Bench of this Court in **RSA-4181-2016**, titled as '**Mahesh Kumar vs. Sub Divisional Officer and Another**' vide judgment dated 14.05.2025.

3. In view of the above, the present second appeal is **allowed**, and the suit filed by the respondent-plaintiff stands dismissed on the ground of jurisdiction. However, the respondent-plaintiff is at liberty to



take recourse to the appropriate remedy as available, in accordance with law.

4. It is made clear that any evidence recorded/observations made in the impugned judgments and decrees would not operate as *res judicata* in any subsequent proceedings initiated by the respondent-plaintiff.

5. Pending application(s), if any, shall stands disposed of.

6. Registry is directed to send a copy of this order through Registered Post to respondent-plaintiff/Om Prakash Taneja, at the address mentioned in the Memo of Parties.

23.02.2026
monika

(NIDHI GUPTA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether Reportable Yes/No