



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

224**LPA-1606-2023 (O&M)****Decided on :16.02.2026**

PARDEEP AND ORS..

. .Appellant.

Versus

FINANCIAL COMMISSIONER AND ORS

. . . Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS SURI**

PRESENT: Mr. K. S. Malik-I, Advocate
for the appellant.

Mr. Rajeev Malhotra, DAG, Haryana.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (Oral)

1. In the present appeal, the challenge is to the impugned order dated 12.09.2023 passed by the learned Single Judge in CWP-20051-2023, by which, the remanding back the case to the authorities concerned for initiating the fresh partition proceedings in a manner required, has been upheld by the learned Single Judge.

2. In the present appeal, the appellants are only required to prove as to how the order passed by the authorities concerned which has been upheld by the learned Single judge, is perverse to the facts or settled principle of law. Even if, two views are possible, the view taken by the authorities which has been upheld by the learned Single Judge, cannot be interfered with merely because the appellants are projecting the other view to be accepted.

3. In the present appeal, the learned single judge has only upheld the order passed by the learned Financial Commissioner, by which, the case was remanded back to the concerned authorities for initiating the fresh partition proceedings in accordance with law.



LPA-1606-2023 (O&M) -2-

4. Learned counsel for the appellants has not been able to show that as to how, the said order of the learned Financial Commissioner is perverse to the facts or settled principle of law.
5. Hence, in the present case, no perversity has been shown to this Court with the order dated 30.06.2023 passed by the learned Financial Commissioner as well as order dated 12.09.2023 passed by the learned Single Judge, that the same are contrary to the facts or the settled principle of law. No ground is made out for any interference by this Court in the facts and circumstances of the present case.
6. At this stage, learned counsel for the appellants submits that the parties are already engaged to settle their issues amicably.
7. As the case, has only been remanded back to the authorities concerned for afresh adjudication qua the partition proceeding, in case, the parties agrees to settle their dispute amicably then the said fact can be considered by the authorities concerned while deciding the plea of partition proceedings pending between the parties.
8. Accordingly, the present appeal is dismissed as such.
9. Pending civil miscellaneous application(s), if any, stand disposed of.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

(VIKAS SURI)
JUDGE

16.02.2026

Riya

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/~~No~~

Whether Reportable: ~~Yes~~/No



LPA-1606-2023 (O&M)