

CR-5681-2024(O&M) 1

2026:PHHC:013083



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH

\*\*\*

CR-5681-2024(O&M)

Date of decision : 29.01.2026

Ram Avtar @ Ram Awatar Sharma

... Petitioner

Versus

Anita and others

... Respondents

***CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL***

Present: Mr.Rakesh Dhiman, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr.Kushagra Mahajan, Advocate  
for respondent no. 2 to 5,  
LRs of respondent no.7,  
respondents no. 8, 9,  
LRs of respondent no.10,  
LRs of respondent no.16 and  
respondent no.17.

**VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)**

1. This is a Civil Revision Petition filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India against the impugned order dated 11.09.2024 passed by the Civil Judge (Jr.Div.), Pataudi, vide which the application filed by the petitioner under Order 5 Rule 20 read with Section 151 CPC seeking direction to get service of summons effected against defendants no.2 to 5, 11(i), (iii) to (vii), 12 to 14, 24 and 25 has been dismissed.

2. Learned counsel appearing for respondents no.2 to 5, LRs of respondent no.7, respondents nos. 8, 9, LRs of respondent no.10, LRs of respondent no.16 and respondent no. 17 has very fairly submitted that



defendants no.2 to 5 are now aware of the proceedings and the counsel would appear before the trial Court for defendants no.2 to 5. It is further submitted that as far as defendants no.11(i), (iii) to (vii) are concerned, they have already sold the property to defendants no.1 and 6, who are being represented through counsel and even defendants no. 12 to 14 have sold their property to defendants no.1 and 6. It is further submitted that defendants no.24 and 25 are the sub tenants and thus, it is submitted that all the main contesting parties are already represented. It is further submitted that the suit has been pending since 2014 and in order to finally dispose of the said suit, the application under Order 5 Rule 20 read with Section 151 CPC to serve the defendants no. 11(i), (iii) to (vii), 12 to 14, 24 and 25 be allowed.

3. During the course of hearing, a very fair stand has been taken on behalf of the petitioner as well as counsel for the abovesaid contesting respondents and they have jointly submitted that all the contesting respondents are duly represented and in the said circumstances, the present petition be disposed of and the impugned order be set aside and the application under Order 5 Rule 20 read with Section 151 CPC to serve the defendants no.11(i), (iii) to (vii), 12 to 14, 24 and 25 be allowed.

4. Ordered accordingly.

(VIKAS BAHL)  
JUDGE

January 29, 2026.  
*Davinder Kumar*

|                             |        |
|-----------------------------|--------|
| Whether speaking / reasoned | Yes/No |
| Whether reportable          | Yes/No |