



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

211

CWP-26086-2022 (O&M)
Date of decision: 26.05.2026

Kamaljit Singh Jaggi

.....Petitioner

Versus

Punjabi University, Patiala and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAMIT KUMAR

Present : Mr. H.C. Arora, Advocate with
Mr. Gagandeep Sandhu, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. H.S. Batth, Advocate for the respondents.

NAMIT KUMAR, J. (ORAL)

1. The present petition has been filed by the petitioner under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, seeking a writ of mandamus, directing the respondents to take immediate steps to create some promotional avenues for the post of Senior Technical Assistant on which the petitioner has been working since 02.08.2010.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that as per law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***Raghunath Prasad Singh v. Secretary, Home (Police) Department, Government of Bihar and others : 1988 AIR Supreme Court 1033; Dr. Ms. O.Z. Hussain v. Union of India and others : 1990 AIR Supreme Court 311; Sudama Ram Sharma v. Panjab University Chandigarh : 2001(3) SCT 1099*** and ***Food Corporation of India and others v. Parashotam Das Bansal and others : 2008(1) SCT 769***, wherein it has been held that all the employees should have avenues of promotion in their service career, and, therefore, the petitioner is entitled for the promotional avenues. He

further submits that for claiming the said benefit, the petitioner has also served a legal notice dated 13.09.2022 (Annexure P-8) upon the respondents, however, no final decision has been taken. He further submits that at this stage, the petitioner would be satisfied, if appropriate directions are issued to the respondents to consider and decide the said legal notice, by passing a speaking order, in a time bound manner.

3. Learned counsel for the respondents has no objection to the innocuous prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner.

4. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the record of the case.

5. Without going into the merits of the case at this stage, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to decide the legal notice dated 13.09.2022 (Annexure P-8) served by the petitioner expeditiously, in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the above-said judgments, by passing a speaking order after affording an opportunity of hearing to him, preferably within a period of 06 months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. Further, the decision taken thereon shall be conveyed to the petitioner.

6. Pending applications, if any, stand disposed of.

26.05.2026
Vinay

(NAMIT KUMAR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No