



CRM-M-46875-2025 and
CRM-M-44714-2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

291

CRM-M-46875-2025

Indraj

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

.....Respondents

CRM-M-44714-2025

Kripal Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

.....Respondents

Decided on : 12.02.2026

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present: Mr. P.K. Ganga, Advocate
for the petitioner (in CRM-M-46875-2025)

Ms. Gurdeep Kaur, Advocate
for the petitioner (in CRM-M-44714-2025)

Mr. Pawan Kumar Jhanda, Sr. DAG Haryana

Mr. Santosh Kumar Yadav, Advocate
for respondent No.2

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (ORAL)

1. By way of this common order, both the above-mentioned petitions are being decided together as they arise out of the same FIR and common allegations.
2. Instant petitions have been filed under Section 528 of BNSS, seeking



CRM-M-46875-2025 and
CRM-M-44714-2025

quashing of the below detailed First Information Report (FIR), and all the consequential proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of the compromise dated 05.08.2025 (Annexure P-2), effected between the parties.

DETAILS OF CRIMINAL CASE:-

FIR No.	Date	Section(s)	Police Station
129	26.02.2009	420, 467, 468, 471, 120-B, 109 IPC	City Sirsa, District Sirsa

3. Vide order dated 27.08.2025, the affected parties were directed to appear before the learned Trial Court/Illaq Magistrate, for getting their respective statements recorded with regard to the compromise.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that it is admitted position that there were total five accused in the case and instant two petitions have been filed by two of them namely Indraj (petitioner in CRM-M-46875-2025) and Kripal Singh (petitioner in CRM-M-44714-2025). He further submits that remaining three accused namely Satpal Singh, Ranbir Singh and Ramesh Mehta. were found to be innocent by the investigating agency and after start of trial, application filed by the complainant under Section 319 Cr.P.C. qua all three of them, was dismissed by the Court of learned Magistrate and thereupon vide order dated 27.09.2015, said order was maintained by the revisional Court also.

5. Report dated 26.09.2025 has since been received from learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Sirsa, in pursuance to the directions of this Court wherein, the factum of the compromise arrived at between the parties stands verified and confirmed. As per the report(s) compromise has indeed been effected between the parties and the same is without any pressure or coercion and out of their free will and the private respondents have also made statement to the effect



CRM-M-46875-2025 and
CRM-M-44714-2025

that they would have no objection if the FIR qua the accused-petitioner(s) is quashed.

6. The trial Court has annexed the statements of the parties in original, along with its report. The relevant part of the said report is reproduced herein below:

Sr. No.	Description	
1.	Total number of persons found involved as accused in the dispute/FIR	Five
2.	Number of complainant/victim(s)	One
3.	Whether all the accused and complainant / victims are party to compromise & signed the same	Yes
4.	In case, any affected person(accused or complainant) is left out or not arrayed as party in the quashing petition before High Court, detail whereas; Or His/her statement is till to be recorded, in compliance to the direction of this Court, details of such person	No
5.	Whether any accused has been declared as a proclaimed offender/person or any such proceedings against him/her have been initiated or pending adjudication	No
6.	Report of the Court whether compromise is genuine, voluntary, and without any coercion or undue influence	Yes
7.	Any other aspect relevant to the present case.	NA

7. Learned State counsel does not dispute the aforesaid factual position and affirms the same during the course of arguments.

8. In view of the report of the learned Judicial Magistrate First Class, Sirsa, and the principles laid down by Hon’ble the Apex Court in *Gian Singh Vs.*



CRM-M-46875-2025 and
CRM-M-44714-2025

State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303, and also by the Full Bench of this Court in *Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052*, the instant petition is allowed. The aforesaid FIR and all consequential proceedings arising out of it, are quashed.

9. Needless to say the parties shall remain bound by the terms of compromise and their statements recorded before the Court below

10. Petition stands disposed of.

11. A photocopy of this order be placed on the file of other connected case.

12.02.2026
reena

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: YES/~~NO~~
Whether Reportable: ~~YES~~/NO