



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

220

CWP-22718-2023

Date of Decision: 17.02.2026

NARESH KUMAR

...Petitioner

Versus

ELECTION COMMISSION OF INDIA AND ORS

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS SURI

Present:- None for the petitioner.

Mr. Prateek Gupta, Advocate,
for respondent No.1 (through V.C.).

Mr. Rajiv Malhotra, DAG, Haryana.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (ORAL)

1. No one had appeared on behalf of the petitioner on the last date of hearing. Today again, no one appears on behalf of the petitioner. Hence, it can safely be presumed that the petitioner is not interested in pursuing the present writ petition.

2. Dismissed for non-prosecution.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

(VIKAS SURI)
JUDGE

February 17, 2026
harish

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether reportable	No