



ARB-468-2025 (O&M) 1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

ARB-468-2025 (O&M)  
Date of Decision:12.02.2026

M/S SM Segen LLP through its authorized signatory Sh. Suresh Goyal

.....Petitioner

Versus

M/S RAS Media and Entertainment Pvt. Ltd.through its Director/authorized  
representative Sh. Digant Mehta

.....Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI**

Present:- Mr. Vidul Kapoor, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Tarun Singhal, Advocate for the respondent.

\*\*\*\*\*

**JASGURPREET SINGH PURI J.(Oral)**

1. The present is a petition filed under Section 11 (6) of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 (hereinafter referred to as 'the Act'), seeking appointment of an Arbitration Tribunal/Arbitrator(s) in the present case.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that an agreement was executed between the petitioner and the respondent, which is in the nature of a lease deed. The said agreement has been appended with the present petition as Annexure P-1 and contains an arbitration clause at Para No. 24, providing that in case of any dispute arising between the parties, the matter shall be referred to an Arbitral Tribunal consisting of three



**ARB-468-2025 (O&M)                      2**

arbitrators. He further submitted that considering the claim amount involved in the present petition, which is small, instead of appointing an Arbitral Tribunal consisting of three arbitrators, a Sole Arbitrator may be appointed by this Court. He further submitted that upon disputes having arisen between the parties, the petitioner invoked the arbitration clause and served a notice dated 26.03.2025 upon the respondent through registered post, vide Annexure P-3. However, no response was received from the respondent. Therefore, he submitted that this Hon'ble Court may appoint a sole arbitrator for adjudicating the dispute which has arisen between the parties.

3.                      Mr. Tarun Singhal, Advocate, has caused appearance on behalf of the respondent and has filed his Power of Attorney, which is taken on record. He submits that he has sought specific instructions from the respondent to state that they have no objection if an independent impartial sole arbitrator is appointed for adjudication of the dispute, even though the arbitration clause provides for appointment of an Arbitral Tribunal consisting of three arbitrators. He submitted that considering the claim amount involved, a Sole Arbitrator may be appointed.

4.                      In view of the aforesaid statement made by learned counsel for the respondent regarding no objection to the appointment of a Sole Arbitrator by this Court, the present petition is allowed. Mr. D.K.Singal, Advocate, resident of Kothi No.114, Sector 9, Panchkula, Phone No.0172-3152591, Mobile No.9417206670, Email:dks@dksingal.com is nominated



**ARB-468-2025 (O&M)                    3**

as the Sole Arbitrator to adjudicate the dispute between the parties, subject to compliance of statutory provisions including Section 12 of the Act.

- 5.                    Parties are directed to appear before learned Arbitrator on date, time and place to be fixed and communicated by learned Arbitrator at his convenience.
- 6.                    Fee shall be paid to learned Arbitrator in accordance with the Fourth Schedule of the Arbitration Act, as amended.
- 7.                    Learned Arbitrator is also requested to complete the proceedings as per the time limit prescribed under Section 29-A of the Act.
- 8.                    A request letter alongwith a copy of the order be sent to Mr. D.K.Singal, Advocate.

**12.02.2026**  
*shweta*

**(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)**  
**JUDGE**

|                           |   |        |
|---------------------------|---|--------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned | : | Yes/No |
| Whether reportable        | : | Yes/No |