



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**COCP-3132-2023(O&M)
Date of decision: 01.04.2026**

Mohinder Singh and another

... Petitioners

Versus

Navdeep Singh Virk, IPS, and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL

Present: None for the petitioners.

Mr. Anuj Garg, DAG, Haryana.

VIKRAM AGGARWAL, J. (ORAL)

The instant contempt petition alleges willful disobedience of the directions issued vide order dated 30.01.2023, passed in CWP-14160-2018 (Annexure P-1):-

“... Petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to pass appropriate orders after treating the service rendered by the petitioners on contract basis prior to regularization as qualifying service for the purposes of pension. As some of the petitioner(s) have retired, it is directed that arrears of pension payable to them, shall be confined to a period of three years prior to the date of this order and the amount due be paid to them within a period of four months from the date of this order.”

2. Compliance report by way of affidavit of Mr. Mohinder Kumar, General Manager, Haryana Roadways, Fatehabad, filed on behalf of respondent No.3 in the Registry, is taken on record. Paragraphs 3 & 4 of the said report state as under:-

“3. That in compliance with the judgment passed by this Hon'ble Court, the relevant rules, namely Rule 2 of



the Haryana Civil Services (Pension) Rules, 2016, were required to be relaxed by the competent authority. Accordingly, the case was forwarded to the Finance Department on 23.08.2024 for mandatory approval, which was duly granted vide U.O. No. 5/6/2020-3 Pension (FD) dated 19.09.2024. Thereafter, vide Order Nos. 8759-68/EA/ECD dated 17.09.2024 and 8769-75/EA/ECD dated 17.09.2024, the office of the answering deponent, being the competent authority, granted the benefit of contractual service rendered by the petitioners as qualifying service for the purpose of pension and other pensionary benefits. Consequently, the revised pensionary benefits admissible to the petitioners have already been released along with arrears. Copies of all relevant documents were annexed with the earlier affidavit and the same was taken on record.

4. That at the time of filing of the affidavit, only the annuity amount relating to both petitioners was pending, as the matter was under process with the annuity service provider / NSDL (E-Protean) and the Director, Treasuries and Accounts. However, the annuity amounts of both petitioners have since been deposited in their respective bank accounts. The details thereof are as under:-

Sr. No.	Name of petitioner	Amount of Annuity	Date of deposit
1.	Mahender Singh	Rs. 1,43,200/-	15.05.2025
2.	Jagdish Chander	Rs. 4,68,258/-	12.12.2025

That as per the National Pension System (NPS) policy, upon retirement, a subscriber is entitled to withdraw 60% of the corpus directly, while the remaining 40% is mandatorily utilized for purchase of annuity from an empanelled annuity service provider. The transaction and agreement for annuity are strictly between the subscriber and the annuity service provider.”



3. A perusal of the aforesaid report shows that the directions stand complied with. Learned State counsel also submits that in view of the averments made in the reply, no further compliance is required to be made at the ends of the respondents.
4. That being so, no further orders are required to be passed by this Court and the contempt petition is accordingly disposed of.
5. Pending application(s), if any, also stands disposed of.

(VIKRAM AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

April 1, 2026
Rajan

Whether speaking / reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No