



158

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**RSA-5371-2014 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 21.05.2026**

Manjit Singh Hira

.....Appellant

Vs.

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SUDEEPTI SHARMA

Present : None for the appellant.

Mr. Ravneet S. Joshi, DAG, Punjab,
for the respondents.

SUDEEPTI SHARMA J. (ORAL)

1. The present appeal pertains to the year 2014. None had put in appearance on behalf of the appellant on the last date of hearing, therefore, Registry was directed to issue notice to the appellant as well as his counsel for the date fixed.
2. As per office report, notice issued to the appellant has been received back unserved with the report that he is residing abroad (U.S.A). It is further reported that learned counsel for the appellant has been informed through a letter.
3. Today also, there is no representation on behalf of the appellant.
4. In view of the above, this Court is now left with no other option but to dismiss the present appeal for want of prosecution.
5. Accordingly, the present appeal is dismissed for want of prosecution.
6. Liberty is, however, reserved to the appellant to move an application to revive the present appeal in case any cause of action survives.
6. Pending application(s), if any, also stand disposed of.

**(SUDEEPTI SHARMA)
JUDGE**

21.05.2026

Virender

Whether speaking/non-speaking : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No