

214-4 CRM-A-1147-MA-2015 (O&M)
CENTRAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION V/S AMAR NATH ICHHPUJANI AND ORS

Present: Mr. Prateek Gupta, Advocate for the appellant – CBI.
Mr. B.R. Kaushik, Advocate for respondent Nos.2, 3 & 7.
Mr. R.K. Girdhar, Advocate for respondent Nos.4 & 5.
None for remaining respondents.

CRM-21713-2015

Present application has been filed for condonation of delay of 39 days.
For the reasons mentioned in the application, the same is allowed.
Delay of 39 days in filing the appeal is condoned.

CRM-21714-2015

Allowed as prayed for.

CRM-A-1147-MA-2015

Counsel for CBI submits that he has a very good case on merit and there is sufficient evidence on record which is sufficient for conviction of the private respondents. There is no appreciation of evidence in judgment of acquittal dated 23.02.2015.

Counsel(s) appearing for the private respondent(s) oppose the prayer.
Leave to appeal granted.
Registry to assign number to appeal.

Main appeal

Heard.

Admitted.

The accused i.e. private respondents execute a bond for attendance within two months, undertaking to appear in this Court, in case appeal is allowed.

Given above, the accused i.e. private respondents shall furnish a personal bond of Rs. Twenty Five Thousand only (INR 25,000/-) each, and furnishing one surety for Rs. Twenty Five thousand only (INR 25,000/-) each, to the satisfaction of the concerned Trial Court/Duty Magistrate. Before accepting the sureties, the concerned Court must satisfy that if the accused fails to appear in Court, then such surety is capable of producing them before the Court.

The furnishing of the personal bonds shall be deemed acceptance of the all stipulations, terms, and conditions of this order.

Registry to communicate this order to the trial Court without any delay. In case of failure of respondents to furnish bond, the concerned Court shall proceed further in accordance with law.

There would be no need for a certified copy of this order for furnishing bonds, and any Advocate for the accused can download this order along with case status from the official web page of this Court and attest it to be a true copy. In case the attesting officer

wants to verify the authenticity, such an officer can also verify its authenticity and may download and use the downloaded copy for attesting bonds.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

March 10, 2026
Sangeeta

(SUKHVINDER KAUR)
JUDGE