

2026:PHHC:010191



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-49959-2023

Date of decision : 23.01.2026

Uploaded on: 23.01.2026

SANDHYA KAUSHIK

... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA & ANOTHER

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI

Present: Mr. Gaurav Datta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. T.P. Singh, Sr. DAG, Haryana with
Mr. Vipul Sherwal, Asstt. A.G., Haryana.

Mr. Anshul Mangal, Advocate with
for respondent No.2.

JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J.

The prayer in the present petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. is for quashing of the impugned complaint No.COMA/777/2019 dated 25.03.2019 titled as M/s MS Motors Vs. M/s Sri Hans Enterprises, the order dated 28.08.2023 (Annexure P-6) passed by the JMIC, Ambala vide which the petitioner has been declared a proclaimed person and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom.

2. The learned counsel for the petitioner at the very outset submits that he does not wish to challenge the complaint and summoning order but prays that the order dated 28.08.2023 (Annexure P-6) whereby the petitioner was declared a proclaimed person be set aside as the petitioner is ready and willing to join proceedings and in another case where the petitioner had been summoned to face trial under

2026:PHHC:010191



the provisions of the Negotiable Instruments Act and had been declared a proclaimed person, this Court had quashed the said order declaring the petitioner as a proclaimed person therein while declining the prayer for quashing of the complaint and summoning order.

3. On the other, the learned for respondent No.2 submits that as the petitioner is ready and willing to join proceedings and is not pressing the present petition with respect to quashing of the complaint and summoning order but has restricted her prayer only for quashing of the order whereby she was declared a proclaimed person, he has no objection to the same.

4. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

5. Admittedly, the petitioner is a lady of the age of approximately 57 years. She states that she is ready and willing to join proceedings and face trial for the offences under the Negotiable Instruments Act. In a similar petition, this Court had quashed the order declaring the petitioner a proclaimed person therein. Therefore, no useful purpose would be served by allowing the proceedings emanating out of the impugned order dated 28.08.2023 (Annexure P-6) to continue.

6. In view of the aforementioned discussion, the order dated 28.08.2023 (Annexure P-6) vide which the petitioner has been declared a proclaimed person stands quashed.

7. The petitioner is directed to appear before the Trial Court within a period of two weeks from the date of the receipt of the copy of

2026:PHHC:010191



this order and on her doing so, the Court concerned shall admit her to the bail subject to her furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate/Illaqa Magistrate, concerned.

8. Needless to say, in case the petitioner seeks exemption, the said Court shall consider the same sympathetically as she is a lady.

9. This Court has expressed no opinion on the merits of the case and the Trial shall proceed in accordance with law.

(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)
JUDGE

23.01.2026

JITESH

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No

Whether reportable:- Yes/No