

**138 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**



**CRA-S-2478-2025 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 10.03.2026**

**PRASHANT @ PRASHANT BHATI AND ANOTHER
... APPELLANTS
VERSUS
STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER ... RESPONDENTS**

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S.GREWAL

Present:- Mr. Deepender Singh, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Nipun Gupta, Advocate for the appellant.

Mr. Rakesh Kumar Jangra, A.A.G., Haryana.

Mr. Gaurav Datta, Advocate with
Mr. K.P.Singh Dhaliwal, Advocate for the complainant.

H.S. GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

1. The appellants are aggrieved by the order dated 17.07.2025 passed by learned Additional Sessions Judge, Faridabad, whereby their application for grant of regular bail in FIR No. 83 dated 07.05.2025, under Sections 115(2), 117(2), 3(5), 351(2), 61(2) of BNS (Sections 3/33/89 of Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act 1989 and 110, 238 of BNS added later on), registered at Police Station Chhainsa, District Faridabad (Haryana) has been dismissed.

2. The case of the prosecution is that three persons armed with weapons had inflicted injuries on the complainant namely Dharampal while warning him of having an issue over property with Sumer, who was stated to be the main accused. It is stated that appellant No.1 - Prashant @ Prashant Bhati had given danda blows on both the hands of the complainant whereas,

appellant No.2 - Rinku had used a sharp edged weapon to hit on the head of the complainant.

3. Learned counsel for the appellants submits that the appellants are innocent and have been implicated falsely in the present case. No grievous injury was given by the appellants to the complainant. The appellants are in custody for the last 09 months and 10 days.

4. Learned State counsel as well as learned counsel for the complainant oppose the prayer for grant of regular bail to the appellants on the ground of gravity of allegations. Learned State counsel has filed the custody certificates in Court, which are taken on record. As per the custody certificates, the appellants are in custody for the last 09 months and 10 days. The appellants are also involved in other cases.

5. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

6. After hearing the rival contentions of the learned counsel for the parties and keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the case that no grievous injury was given by the appellants to the complainant; there is no allegation against the appellants of using derogatory remarks on caste of the complainant; they are in custody for the last 09 months and 10 days; the conclusion of trial is likely to take a long time and continuous detention of the appellants would not serve the ends of justice. Accordingly, this Court deems it fit to set aside the order dated 17.07.2025 passed by learned Additional Sessions Judge, Faridabad, and grant the concession of regular bail to the appellants during the pendency of the trial.

7. Therefore, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the instant appeal is allowed. The appellants are ordered to be released

on regular bail on their furnishing requisite bail bonds, surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate concerned.

8. It is clarified that while on bail so granted through the instant order, in case the appellants indulge in any other criminal case, it shall be open to the State to move an application for cancellation of their bail.

10.03.2026
Janki

(H.S.GREWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No