



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

228 (2 cases)

CRM-M-51051-2024 &
CRM-M-46136-2025
Date of Decision: 16.01.2026

SUKHWINDER KAUR AND ORS.

....Petitioners

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER

....Respondents(s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ALOK JAIN

Present : Mr. Akhilesh Vyas, Advocate for the petitioners in
CRM-M-51051-2024 and for respondent no.2 in
CRM-M-46136-2025.

Mr. Nirmal Sharma, Advocate for petitioners in
CRM-M-46136-2025 and for respondent no.2 in
CRM-M-51051-2024.

Mr. Amandeep Singh Samra, AAG, Punjab.

ALOK JAIN, J. (Oral)

1. The present set of two petitions emanate out of FIR No.172 dated 02.12.2022, under Sections 452, 427, 379, 323, 148, 149 of IPC and Sections 25, 27/54/59 of Arms Act, registered at Police Station Raja Sansi, District Amritsar Rural against the petitioners in CRM-M-51051-2024 and G.D. No.22 dated 03.12.2022, under Sections 452, 354, 506, 427, 148, 149, 120-B of IPC in FIR No.172 dated 02.12.2022, registered at Police Station Raja Sansi, District Amritsar Rural recorded against the petitioners in CRM-M-46136-2025. The parties have amicably entered into compromise which is annexed as Annexure P-2 in CRM-M-51051-2024 and as Annexure P-3 in CRM-M-46136-2025.

2. Since two separate petitions have been filed for quashing of FIR



as well as GD/Cross FIR therefore the parties in CRM-M-51051-2024 were directed to get their statements recorded on 16.10.2024 and a report in compliance thereof has been received on 17.12.2024 from the Sub-Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Ajnala. Although compromise between the parties has been effected without any pressure, coercion or undue influence, however, certain stakeholders have not got their statements recorded. Learned counsel for the petitioners have clarified that all those persons who are parties in the in CRM-M-51051-2024 have duly got their statement recorded.

3. This Court vide order dated 21.11.2025, the parties in CRM-M-46136-2025 directed to get their statements recorded. In pursuant thereto, a report dated 24.12.2025 has been received from learned Sub-Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Ajnala stating that the compromise arrived at between the parties is voluntary and the same is without any pressure, coercion or undue influence.

4. Learned State counsel and learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondent No.2 in both petitions admit the factum of compromise and submit that they have no objection to quashing of the FIR on that basis.

5. Perusal of the aforesaid reports establishes that the parties have amicably settled their dispute, and continuance of criminal prosecution in such a situation will be an exercise in futility, as the chances of ultimate conviction are bleak. The power under Section 482 Cr.P.C. can be exercised in such matters. It has been held by Supreme Court of India in cases Gian Singh v. State of Punjab and another 2012(10) SCC 303 and Narinder Singh and others v. State of Punjab and another 2014(6) SCC 406 that



criminal cases having overwhelmingly civil character, particularly those arising out of commercial transactions or matrimonial relationships or family disputes, should be quashed when the parties have resolved their disputes among themselves in a *bona fide* manner.

6. Consequently, these petitions are allowed. FIR No.172 dated 02.12.2022, under Sections 452, 427, 379, 323, 148, 149 of IPC and Sections 25, 27/54/59 of Arms Act, registered at Police Station Raja Sansi, District Amritsar Rural against the petitioners in CRM-M-51051-2024 and G.D. No.22 dated 03.12.2022, under Sections 452, 354, 506, 427, 148, 149, 120-B of IPC in FIR No.172 dated 02.12.2022, registered at Police Station Raja Sansi, District Amritsar Rural against the petitioner in CRM-M-46136-2025 and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed qua the petitioners subject to payment of cost of Rs.5,000/- to be deposited by **each** petitioner in both petitions within two months from today in the ***“Veeranwali Foundation, Nanhi Jaan, Sector-34, Chandigarh”***.

7. Photocopy of this order be placed on the file of connected case.

(ALOK JAIN)
JUDGE

16.01.2026
Deepak Patwal

- | | | |
|----|---------------------------|--------|
| 1. | Whether speaking/reasoned | Yes/No |
| 2. | Whether reportable | Yes/No |