

**CWP-23221-2025****-1-****IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH****Sr. No.119****CWP-23221-2025****Date of decision: 15.01.2026**

Ved Singh and others

....Petitioners

Versus

The State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL
HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE LAPITA BANERJI**

Present: Mr. Ashish Aggarwal, Senior Advocate with
Ms. Pooja Sareen, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Saurabh Mago, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Ankur Mittal, Senior Advocate with
Ms. Kushaldeep K. Manchanda, Advocate and
Mr. Siddharth Arora, Advocate
for respondents No.4 to 6.

* * *

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

1. Through this petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, challenge is made to the letter dated 15.04.2023 (Annexure P-18) through which the respondent – State has withdrawn order/letter dated 11.04.2023 (Annexure P-15) vide which the petitioners' acquired land had been released. Also under challenge is the mutation dated 17.04.2023 (Annexure P-19) through which, after the release of the petitioners' acquired land, in the revenue records, the petitioners' names, showing them to be owners of the land in question, have been substituted with the State of



CWP-23221-2025

-2-

Haryana.

2. At this stage, the only ground raised before us on behalf of the petitioners was that the aforesaid impugned letters/orders, which are prejudicial to the petitioners' rights, have been passed in violation of the principles of natural justice as prior thereto neither any notice was served upon the petitioners nor any opportunity of hearing was granted.

3. Learned State counsel sought to justify the impugned letters/orders but because admittedly, prior to their issuance, principles of natural justice had not been followed, on instructions, he states that the afore-referred impugned letters/orders be treated as withdrawn but liberty be granted to the State to proceed against the petitioners for withdrawing the release of their acquired land and consequently changing of the revenue records after following the principles of natural justice.

4. In the light of the statement made before us by the learned State counsel, the impugned letter dated 15.04.2023 (Annexure-18) and entry in the record pertaining to the land in question dated 17.04.2023 (Annexure P-19) having been withdrawn by the State, the present petition has been rendered infructuous. However, liberty is granted to the State to proceed afresh with regard to its intent to withdraw the release of the petitioners' acquired land but only in accordance with law and in particular, by following the principles of natural justice.

5. Till any decision with regard to withdrawal of the release of the petitioners' acquired land is taken by the State, as above, the petitioners are directed not to part with possession of the acquired land and/or are



CWP-23221-2025

-3-

restrained from creating any encumbrance thereupon including its alienation etc.

- 6. The final decision, as above, be taken by the State within four weeks.
- 7. The petition is disposed of in the above terms.

(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE

(LAPITA BANERJI)
JUDGE

January 15, 2026
Jyoti 1

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>