



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

155

RSA-3692-2014 (O&M)
Date of decision:- 21.05.2026

Dharampal Singh Yadav

...Appellant

VS.

DHBVN and ors.

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SUDEEPTI SHARMA

Present:- None for the appellant.

Mr. Anil Chawla, Advocate
for the respondents.

SUDEEPTI SHARMA, J. (Oral)

1. On 09.04.2026, since no one had put in appearance on behalf of the appellants, Registry was directed to issue notice to the appellant as well as to his counsel. As per office report, sole appellant has been expired
2. Today the matter was called twice but there is no representation on behalf of the appellant. It seems that the appellant is no more interested in pursuing the present case. Therefore, this Court is left with no other option but to dismiss the present petition for want of prosecution.
3. Accordingly, the present appellant is dismissed for want of prosecution.
4. However, liberty is granted to legal heirs of the appellant to revive this appeal, in case any cause of action survives.
5. Pending application (s), if any, also stand disposed of.

21.05.2026

Gaurav Arora

(SUDEEPTI SHARMA)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes

Whether reportable

No