

2026:PHHC:066020



**292 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CR-6600-2019 (O&M)
Decided on:-29.04.2026**

Ram Chander

....Petitioner.

VS.

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARKESH MANUJA

Present: Mr.P.R. Yadav, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Abhinav Kalia, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. P.S. Saini, Advocate with
Ms. Surbhi Rana, Advocate,
for respondent No.3-HSIIDC.

HARKESH MANUJA J. (Oral)

1. By way of present revision petition, challenge has been laid to an order dated 24.07.2019 (Annexure P-1) passed by the learned Additional District Judge, Gurugram-cum-Executing Court, whereby the petitioner has been though awarded the benefit of enhanced market value as granted in favour of their other co-sharers pertaining to the acquired land, however, he has been denied the benefit of interest till the date of filing of the execution petition/application.

2. Being aggrieved thereof, the petitioner preferred the present revision petition.

3. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and gone through the paper-book.

4. The issue raised by the petitioner now stands finally determined by the Hon'ble Apex Court in case of "**Ramphal & Ors. Versus Haryana State Industrial And Infrastructure Development Corporation Limited & Ors**", decided on 13.01.2026 by upholding the claim of the co-sharers those who did not chose to file Reference under Section 18 or 28-A of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894, however, restricting the award of interest for a period of five years. Relevant paras 12 to 14 from the aforesaid decision dated 13.01.2026 are extracted hereunder:-

"12. We are of the considered view that apart from the compensation, the appellants would be entitled to the interest for a period of five years to be reckoned from today backwards and we make it explicitly clear that the appellants are not entitled for any interest for any other period. We would also hasten to add that the appellants would be entitled to all other consequential benefits which flow from award of compensation and the respondent(s) authorities shall compute the compensation as has been determined by the award passed under Section 18 of the Act and/or modified by the High Court or this Court in exercise of appellate jurisdiction.

13. The said determination shall be made by the authorities expeditiously and at any rate within an outer limit of three months from the date of this order and the amounts so determined shall be disbursed within an outer limit of three months for such determination with interest as specified therein.

14. In the event of time line which has been fixed by this Court is not adhered to by the respondent(s) authorities, they shall be liable to pay interest @ nine per cent (9%) on the amounts so determined from the date of such determination."

5 In view of the adjudication made by the Hon'ble Apex Court in the aforementioned case of **Ramphal and others (supra)**, the impugned order passed by the learned Executing Court, declining interest component to the petitioner is set aside and the present revision petition is thus, allowed.

Both the parties are directed to appear before the learned Executing Court on

22.05.2026. Thereafter, the learned Executing Court shall quantify the interest component payable in favour of the petitioner and ensure the release thereof by the respondents in terms of the aforementioned decision passed by the Hon’ble Apex Court.

6. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

29.04.2026

sonika

Whether speaking/reasoned:
Whether reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No

(HARKESH MANUJA)
JUDGE