



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

RSA-6157-2015 (O&M)

Date of decision: 05.02.2026

Krishna

.....Appellant

Versus

Ram Gobind and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present: Ms. Shelika, Advocate for
Mr. Y.P. Malik, Advocate for appellant.

Mr. Abhayjeet Singh, Ms. Pragya Malik, Advocates for
Mr. Shalender Singh, Advocate for respondent No. 1.

Mr. Monu Sharma, Advocate for respondent No. 2.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J.

Present Regular Second Appeal has been filed against judgment and decree dated 02.09.2015 passed by learned Additional District Judge, Rohtak whereby appeal filed by plaintiff No.1/respondent No.1 was allowed while reversing judgment and decree dated 30.04.2014 passed by learned Civil Judge (Junior Division), Rohtak and decreeing suit for declaration to the effect that plaintiffs are owners in possession of suit land on the basis of Will No. 275 dated 27.12.1995 and mutation no.1891 dated 15.06.2001 was also declared as illegal, null and void.

2. Learned counsel for appellant contends that matter has been amicably settled between the parties at their own level.

3. Learned counsel for respondent No. 1 as well as learned counsel for respondent No. 2 raise no objection to the above factual position.



4. After hearing both sides and examining records, it transpires that matter has been amicably settled between the parties at their own level and compromise deed dated 03.11.2025 (A-1) in this regard has already been taken on record by way of CM-36-C-2026 vide order dated 27.01.2026.

5. For reference, operative part of the compromise deed dated 03.11.2025 reads as under:-

“3. That as per settlement/ compromise arrived at between the parties, the agriculture land in dispute in the appeal i.e. RSA No.6157 of 2015, titled as Smt.Krishna Versus Ram Gobind and others, shall be divided in four equal shares, as under:-

A) Krishna (Appellant) D/o Late Sh.Jeet Ram=1/4 share

B) Darshana (Proforma Respondent No.3) D/o Late Sh.Jeet Ram=1/4 share

C) Ram Gobind (Respondent No. 1) S/o Late Sh.Jeet Ram=1/4 share

D) Ram Bhaj (since deceased)- (Respondent No.2) S/o Late Sh. Jeet Ram=1/4 share.

Since Sh. Ram Bhaj has died during the pendency of the aforesaid appeal. Now being represented by his following legal representatives will get total/share.

i) Vikas Hooda=1/16 share

ii) Vikram= 1/16 share

iii) Vishal= 1/16 share

sons of Late Sh. Ram Bhaj

iv) Kapil= 1/16 share S/o Late Sh. Vinod S/o Late Sh.Ram

Bhaj i.e. grandson of Late Sh.Ram Bhaj.”

6. Since matter has been settled between the parties at their own level; therefore, present appeal is disposed off in terms of settlement aforesaid.

7. Ordered accordingly.

8. It is made clear that parties shall be bound by the settlement so recorded in compromise deed dated 03.11.2025 and in case, there is a breach, legal consequences shall follow.



9. Decree sheet be modified accordingly.

Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed off.

05.02.2026

Harish Kumar

(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No