

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

120

2026:PHHC:031225



CRM-M-43547-2025 (O&M)
Date of decision: 26.02.2026.

AJAY ALIAS MOTA

...Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA

...Respondent(s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

PRESENT Mr. Navneet Singh Chhokar, Advocate,
for the petitioner(s).

Ms. Chhavi Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

This is the **second** petition filed under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case bearing FIR No.420 dated 11.12.2022, under Section(s) 395, 397, 212 and 120-B of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 and Section 25 of the Arms Act, 1959, registered at Police Station Dharuhera, District Rewari.

Custody certificate placed on record.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner contends that the petitioner has been nominated only as a conspirator and there is no

other overt act attributed to him. He submits that other co-accused have already been granted the concession of bail.

State counsel, on the other hand, contends that out of 35 witnesses cited by the prosecution 30 witnesses stand already examined and that the prosecution shall conclude its evidence within a period of two months.

Noticing that the trial has already made much progress and is nearing conclusion, the present petition is disposed at this stage with a direction to the prosecution to conclude the remaining prosecution evidence in the aforesaid FIR, which pertains to the year 2022 within a period of two months from the date next fixed before the trial Court for recording of the evidence of the prosecution.

The petitioner shall, however, be at liberty to move an application for bail in case the prosecution evidence is not concluded within the period as aforesaid.

February 26, 2026.
raj arora

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No