

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-22891-2025
Decided On: 25.03.2026

SUNITAPETITIONER(s)

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERSRESPONDENT(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA

Present: Mr. R. S. Dhull, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Amit Sahni, Additional Advocate General, Haryana.

Ms. Richa Tayal, Advocate for
Ms. Harpriya Khaneka, Advocate for respondent no.3/HPSC.

TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA J.(Oral)

The petition has been filed, *inter alia*, seeking a writ of *mandamus* directing the respondent/Commission to revise the answer keys and to include the petitioner's name in the result.

2. In pursuance to the last order dated 25.02.2026, the petitioner's provisional result has been produced, which is retained on case file as Annexure 'A'. It shows she has failed to secure the minimum qualifying, thirty-five per cent, marks in the Subject Knowledge Test.

3. Accordingly, she cannot be considered for the post in question and the petition stands dismissed.

(TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA)
JUDGE

25.03.2026
Ad

Whether speaking/reasoned?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No