

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

2026-PHHC-008944



CRM-M-43986 of 2025(O&M)

Reserved on: 19.01.2026

Pronounced on: 22.01.2026.

Uploaded on: 22.01.2026.

Subhash Chander

..Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE SHALINI SINGH NAGPAL

Present: Mr. Deepak Joon, Advocate for
Mr. Sudhir Rana, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Kanica Sachdeva, DAG Haryana.

Mr. Sahil Gupta, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

SHALINI SINGH NAGPAL, J.

Petitioner seeks regular bail in case vide FIR No.48 dated 24.02.2025, under Sections 80, 85 and 3(5) of BNS, 2023, Police Station Khol, District Rewari. (Section 3(5) of BNS 2023 has been deleted during the investigation.) This is the first petition for regular bail.

Complainant, father of the deceased reported to the police that his daughter Komal was married with Subhash son of Vishnu on 23.11.2023. Since marriage, she was harassed by her husband Subhash, Vishnu, Jaibai alias Guddi wife of Vishnu, brother-in-law Balram, Krishna sons of Vishnu and Sister-in-law Mamta daughter Vishnu, Sister-in-law Manoj wife of Balram for bringing less dowry, on which account she was forced to commit

suicide on 24.02.2025. Whenever her daughter visited the parental home, she told them that her in-laws taunted her for bringing less dowry. They send her back after convincing her. Komal delivered a baby girl Bhavya on 01.04.2024. After the girl child was born, her in-laws started harassing her even more for delivering a female child. Whenever Komal came to her parental home, they gave her money which her mother-in-law and husband would snatch. They mentally harassed her for bringing less dowry. His daughter ended her life on account of the harassment, finding no other option.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that there was no specific allegations against the petitioner. In fact, marriage of the petitioner with the deceased was an inter-caste, love marriage and was solemnised in Arya Samaj Mandir. All material witnesses had been examined. Allegations against the petitioner were vague and general in nature and petitioner who was in custody for last ten months, was entitled to be enlarged on bail.

Learned State counsel has opposed the prayer for regular bail arguing that the deceased died within 2-1/2 years of marriage by hanging. Out of 14, two material witnesses had been examined. Both supported prosecution case. Allegations against the petitioner were serious, grave in nature and he was not entitled to the concession of bail.

Learned counsel for the complainant stated that he did not oppose the prayer for bail as the petitioner had a two year old child to look after.

Petitioner is the main accused, the husband against whom serious allegations are levelled. He is alleged to have caused the dowry death of his wife within 2-1/2 years of marriage. Considering the gravity of

offence and quantum of punishment conviction may entail, nature of allegations, role attributed to petitioner, his relationship with the deceased and all relevant facts and circumstances of the case, the petition for regular bail is declined.

Nothing expressed hereinabove shall be taken as expression of opinion on merits of the case.

All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, stand disposed of.

(SHALINI SINGH NAGPAL)
JUDGE

22.01.2026.

reema		
Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes
Whether reportable	:	No