



CRM-M-42508-2025

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

207

**CRM-M-42508-2025
Decided on:-15.01.2026**

Sukhraj Singh

....Petitioner

Versus**State of Punjab**

....Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE AMARJOT BHATTI

Present:- Mr. Amit Arora, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Manjot Kaur, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Prince Sharma, Advocate for the complainant.

* * * * *

AMARJOT BHATTI, J.(Oral)

1. Petitioner Sukhraj Singh has filed second petition under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No. 0094 dated 25.05.2025 registered under Section 74, 127(3), 127(6), 351(3) of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 (offence under Section 64 BNS, 2023 added later on vide rapat no. 26 dated 26.05.2025) at Police Station Sadar Patti, District Tarn Taran (Annexure P-2).

2. As per facts of case, prosecutrix 'MK' gave her statement that her date of birth is 01.01.1999. On 16.05.2025 at about 12:00 pm noon, she was present in her house when Sukhraj Singh alias Gora, living in their neighbourhood came inside the house and showed her an obscene video of her and threatened to viral it. He wanted to take her along with him. She became unconscious. Thereafter, she did not know where she was taken. On 23.05.2025, he left her outside village Barwala. Ex-Sarpanch Jasbir Singh and Ex-Member Chanan Singh brought her back. Finally, matter was



CRM-M-42508-2025

-2-

reported to police.

3. Learned counsel representing petitioner argued that allegations levelled against him are false. In fact, petitioner and complainant were in relationship since long. Even today, he is ready to solemnize marriage with her. He has placed on record their photographs (Annexure P-1). Without considering these facts, his anticipatory bail application was declined on 20.06.2025 by learned Vacation/Duty Judge, Tarn Taran (Annexure P-3). Earlier, he had filed anticipatory bail petition bearing CRM-M-40985-2025, which was dismissed as withdrawn with liberty to file afresh vide order dated 31.07.2025 (Annexure P-4). In pursuance of interim relief, petitioner has already joined investigation and fully cooperated with Investigating Agency. It is submitted that his anticipatory bail petition may be allowed.

4. Bail petition is opposed by learned counsel representing State assisted by learned counsel representing complainant. Detailed status report has been filed. However, it is confirmed by learned State counsel that in pursuance of interim relief, petitioner joined investigation on 30.10.2025 and has handed over his mobile phone. On instructions of ASI Charanjit Singh, it is submitted that petitioner is not required for further investigation.

5. Considering the aforesaid factual position, petitioner has already joined investigation and handed over his mobile phone. He is not required for further investigation. No purpose would be served by sending him behind the bars. Therefore, without expressing my mind on the merits of case, present anticipatory bail petition filed by petitioner is allowed. He be not arrested. In case of his arrest, he be released on bail to the



CRM-M-42508-2025 -3-

satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer concerned, subject to condition that petitioner will join investigation as and when required. He will not tamper with or interfere with investigation and will not leave country without prior permission as provided under Section 482(2) of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.

6. Pending application(s), if any, also stands disposed of accordingly.

15.01.2026
lalit

(AMARJOT BHATTI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No